Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study 2016

THE NEBRASKA POLL

FINAL REPORT

For the Nebraska Safety Council and the Nebraska Office of Highway Safety

May 2016



contents

Highlight Summary				
Study Description	3			
Narrative Summary	4			
Tabular Summary (frequency distributions)	7			
General	7			
Impaired Driving	8			
Seat Belts	10			
Speed	11			
Distracted Driving	12			
Miscellaneous (motorcycle helmet law)	12			
Demographics	13			
Longitudinal Comparisons (2000-2016)	14			
Tabular Report (frequency distributions and crosstab tables)	21			
Interview Instrument (Questionnaire)				



Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study 2016

The Nebraska Poll

For Nebraska Safety Council and Nebraska Highway Safety

HIGHLIGHT SUMMARY

Methodology

- Research Associates completed 900 telephone interviews from random samples of Nebraska land and cell phones during April 19 – May 5, 2016.
- The purpose of the study was to measure Nebraskans' attitudes toward various highway safety issues.
- The statewide study has a maximum error range of +/- 3.3% at a 95% confidence level.

Impaired Driving

- Core Q: 26% of the respondents indicated they had driven within two hours after drinking alcoholic beverages at least once in the last 60 days.
- Core Q: A plurality (35%) indicated the chances of getting arrested if they drive after drinking are somewhat likely.
- Core Q: Just less than half of the respondents (47%) indicated awareness of drunk driving enforcement in the last 30 days.
- Just over half (52%) indicated awareness of drunk driving messages in the past 60 days.

Seat Belts

- Core Q: A super-majority (78%) indicated they always wear safety belts when they drive or ride.
- Core Q: A majority (60%) indicated the chances of getting a ticket for not wearing a seat belt are unlikely (36% somewhat unlikely plus 24% very unlikely).
- Core Q: 28% indicated they had heard something about seat belt law enforcement in the last 60 days.
- Less than half (44%) indicated awareness of Click It Or Ticket messages in the past 60 days. A plurality of those (37%) had seen the messages on TV.

Speed

- Core Q: A majority (51%) indicated they rarely drive faster than 35 mph on a road posted at 30 mph.
- Core Q: A plurality (49%) indicated they never driver faster than 70 mph on a road posted at 65 mph.
- Core Q: 29% indicated awareness of speed enforcement in the past 30 days.
- Core Q: A plurality (38%) indicated the chances of getting a ticket for speeding are somewhat likely.

Distracted Driving

- A majority (58%) or respondents support a law allowing a ticket solely for cell phone use while driving.
- Nearly nine out of ten respondents (89%) support a law allowing drivers to be stopped and ticketed <u>solely</u> for texting while driving.

Motorcycle Helmet Law

A super-majority (73%) indicated that Nebraska's law requiring motorcycle helmets should be <u>continued</u>.

Demographics

- Nearly all respondents (99%) indicated they have a driver's license.
- Age (controlled): 16-20, 1%; 21-34, 14%; 35-49, 38%; 50-64, 28%; 65 up, 20%.
- Annual household incomes: under \$40,000, 22%; \$20,000-40,000, 37%; over \$40,000, 42%.
- Phone type (controlled): 60% from traditional landlines; 40% from cell phones.
- Congressional District (controlled): District One, 34%; District Two, 32%; District Three, 34%.
- Gender: 48% male and 52% female (controlled).



Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study, 2016

THE NEBRASKA POLL May 2016

STUDY DESCRIPTION (N=900)

The purpose of this edition of The Nebraska Poll was to measure the attitudes of Nebraskans relative to highway safety issues, including but not limited to the issues of impaired driving, seat belt safety, speed and driving while distracted (using cell phones and other electronic devices while driving).

Research Associates designed the survey instrument with input and final approval from the Nebraska Safety Council and the Nebraska Office of Highway Safety staffs.

The instrument designed to meet the purpose of this study was administered by telephone to a total sample of 900 randomly selected Nebraskans during April 19 – May 5, 2016. The sample was divided approximately equally among Nebraska's three congressional districts. Completed interviews were from both cell phones (40%) and landlines (60%).

A sample of 900 randomly selected respondents provides results that are statistically accurate within an expected maximum error range of plus or minus 3.3% at a 95% confidence level. In other words, if this survey were administered to the entire population studied, the results would vary no more than plus or minus 3.3% from the results reported here, 95 times out of 100. The actual expected maximum error ranges vary based on the specific percentage results obtained for each response, as shown below.

Expected Maximum Error Ranges at a 95% Confidence Level for a Sample of 900 (Statewide Data)

10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%
$\pm /-2.0\%$	+/-2 6%	$\pm /-3.0\%$	+/-3 2%	+/-3 3%	+/-3 2%	$\pm /-3.0\%$	+/-2 6%	+/-2 0%

Expected Maximum Error Ranges at a 95% Confidence Level for a Sample of 300 (Congressional District Data)

10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%
+/-3.4%	+/-4.5%	+/-5.2%	+/-5.5%	+/-5.7%	+/-5.5%	+/-5.2%	+/-4.5%	+/-3.4%

The figures in this report represent percentages unless specifically stated otherwise. Columns may not always total exactly 100% due to rounding.

Crosstab tables are computed on the statewide results.

Terminology: the mean score is the same as the average score. The "N" is the number of cases (respondents) in the study. An open-ended question is one in which no response choices were provided to respondents and captured responses were then categorized into groups or ranges.



Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study, 2016

THE NEBRASKA POLL May 2016

NARRATIVE SUMMARY (N=900)

Impaired Driving

The first question was open-ended and asked respondents to name the biggest problem in Nebraska today. Roads and highway safety-related responses included: distracted driving (8%); drunk/impaired driving (1%); traffic and road conditions (14%).

Respondents were next asked to rate three specified problems on a 1 to 5 scale, with 5 as very important and 1 as not at all important. Both distracted driving (with a mean score of 4.32) and drunk driving (mean score of 4.24) were named as bigger problems than the fuel tax situation (3.27) by a significant margin.

Respondents were equally divided on whether Nebraska penalties for drunk driving are not tough enough, (48%) or about right (47%), while 5% indicated they are too tough.

Respondents were asked to rate the effectiveness of three measures to prevent drunk driving. The leading measure was mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring with a mean score of 3.63, followed by motor vehicle sobriety check points (mean score of 3.33) and mandatory offender education (mean score of 3.30).

Respondents were next asked whether they favor or oppose each of three specific penalties for drunk driving. All were favored by a majority of respondents. Leading the list of penalties was mandatory treatment for drunk driving offenders (77% favoring); followed by mandatory sentencing for drunk driving offenses (68% favoring); and mandatory interlock ignition for all first-time offenders (64% favoring).

Ten percent (10%) of respondents indicated they had driven while impaired by alcohol but still under the legal limit in the last 60 days.

CORE QUESTION: About one-fourth of the respondents (26%) indicated they had driven within two hours after drinking alcoholic beverages at least once in the last 60 days.

CORE QUESTION: About a third of the respondents (35%) indicated the chances of getting arrested if they drive after drinking are somewhat likely, while 30% indicated the chances of that are somewhat unlikely.

CORE QUESTION: Less than half of the respondents (47%) indicated they had seen or heard something about drunk driving enforcement by police in the last 30 days.

Over half of the respondents (52%) indicated they had read, seen or heard drunk driving-related messages in the past 60 days.



Seat Belts

CORE QUESTION: A super-majority (78%) indicated they always wear safety belts when they drive or ride.

CORE QUESTION: A majority (60%) of respondents thought the chances of getting a ticket for not wearing a seat belt were unlikely or very unlikely (36% somewhat unlikely plus 24% very unlikely).

CORE QUESTION: Less than a third of respondents (28%) indicated they had seen or heard something about seat belt law enforcement by police in the last 60 days.

Less than half the respondents (44%) indicated they had read, seen or heard Click It Or Ticket seat belt messages in the past 60 days. In an open ended question, a plurality of respondents (37%) indicated they had seen the Click It Or Ticket ads on TV, while 12% didn't know where they had seen the ad.

For the seventh straight year, a majority of respondents (57%) indicated that law enforcement officers should be allowed to stop drivers and ticket them for not wearing a seat belt.

Respondents were asked what level of fine would be most effective to get people to wear their seat belt all the time. A plurality (30%) indicated \$25-50, 26% indicated \$51-100, 23% indicated more than \$200, 15% indicated \$101-150 and 6% indicated \$151-200.

Speed

CORE QUESTION: A majority of respondents (51%) indicated they rarely drive faster than 35 mph on a road posted at 30 mph, while 19% indicated never, 17% indicated half the time and 13% indicated most of the time.

CORE QUESTION: Nearly half of respondents (49%) indicated they never driver faster than 70 mph on a road posted at 65 mph, while another 37% indicated they rarely drive faster than 70 on those roads, 8% indicated half the time and 5% indicated most of the time.

CORE QUESTION: Less than a third of respondents (29%) indicated they had heard anything about speed enforcement in the past 30 days.

CORE QUESTION: A plurality (38%) indicated the chances of getting a ticket if you drive over the speed limit are somewhat likely; 24% indicated likely, 18% unlikely, 15% very likely and 6% very unlikely.

Distracted Driving Laws

A majority of respondents (58%) indicated support for a law allowing law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them <u>solely</u> for talking on a cell phone while driving, while 41% opposed that and 2% had no opinion.

Nearly nine out of ten respondents (89%) indicated support for a law that would allow law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them <u>solely</u> for texting while driving, while 11% opposed that.

Miscellaneous

A super-majority of respondents (73%) again indicated that Nebraska's law requiring motorcycle helmets should be continued, while 23% said it should be repealed and 4% had no opinion.



Demographics

Nearly all respondents (99%) indicated they have a driver's license.

Respondents indicated distribution in the following age categories (controlled variable): 16-20, 1%; 21-34, 14%; 35-49, 38%; 50-64, 28%; 65 up, 20%.

Respondents indicated distribution in the following annual household income categories: under \$40,000, 22%; \$40,000-80,000, 37%; over \$80,000, 42%.

Cell phone numbers were included in the sample; 60% of the respondents were interviewed on their traditional landlines and 40% on their cell phones.

Respondents were distributed among Nebraska's three congressional districts as follows (controlled variable): District One, 34%; District Two, 32%; District Three, 34%.

By control, respondents were 48% male and 52% female.

###



Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study, 2016 THE NEBRASKA POLL

May 2016

TABULAR SUMMARY

(frequency distributions)

		State N=900	Dist One	Two		
	General					
1.	First, what do you think is the biggest problem in Nebraska today? (Open Ended)					
	Taxes, spending, budget, education funding, etc.	31	30	32	31	
	Roads, streets, traffic, etc.	14	15	13	13	
	Economy, drought, water, jobs, wages, etc.	12	12	11	12	
	Government, politicians, etc.	10	10	11	7	
	Crime, drugs, gangs, vandalism, etc.	9	4	11	10	
	Distracted driving	8	9	6	9	
	Drunk drivers	1			1	
	Others	17	19	14	17	
2-4.	On a 1-5 scale, with 5 as very important and 1 as not at all important, how would you rate the following problems in Nebraska:					
	How important is the problem of distraction caused by drivers using cell phones or other electronic devices?	4.32	4.33	4.37	4.27	
	How important is the problem of driving while intoxicated or drunk driving?	4.24	4.22	4.29	4.20	
	How important is the fuel tax situation?	3.27	3.17	3.15	3.48	



			Percentage				
			Dist	Dist	Dist		
		State	One	Two	Three		
	Impaired Driving						
5.	Do you think the Nebraska penalties for drunk driving are:						
	Too tough	5	4	4	7		
	About right	47	52	39	49		
	Not tough enough	Impaired Driving or drunk driving are: 5 4 47 52 48 45 extive and 5 being very effective, ang is in preventing drunk driving. 3.30 3.33			44		
	a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very ineffective and 5 being very effective, see rate how effective each of the following is in preventing drunk driving.						
6.	Mandatory offender education	3.30	3.33	3.33	3.24		
7.	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring	3.63	3.66	3.62	3.61		
8.	Motor vehicle sobriety check points	3.33	3.35	3.33	3.31		



		State	Percentag Dist Di One Tw		Dist Three
Wot	ald you favor or oppose each of the following penalties for	State	Olle	Two	Tiffee
	k driving:				
9.	Mandatory sentencing for drunk driving offenses				
	Favor	68	69	69	66
	Oppose Don't know	30 2	29 2	30 2	32 3
	Doll t know	2	2	2	3
10.	Mandatory treatment for drunk driving offenders				
	Favor	77	76	79	76
	Oppose	21	21	20	22
	Don't know	2	3	1	2
11.	Mandatory interlock ignition for all first-time offenders				
11.	Favor	64	67	60	66
	Oppose	33	30	36	31
	Don't know	3	3	3	3
12.	In the last 60 days, have you ever driven while impaired by alcohol, but still under the legal limit?				
	Yes	10	9	11	11
	No	90	91	89	89
13.	What do you think the chances are of someone getting arrested if they drive after drinking? (Core Q. A-3)				
	Very likely	7	6	6	9
	Somewhat likely	35	36	31	38
	Likely	16 30	16 31	15 34	18 25
	Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely	30 12	12	34 15	23 11
	very uninkery	12	12	13	11
14.	In the past 60 days, how many times have you driven a motor vehicle within 2 hours after drinking alcoholic beverages? (Core Q. A-1)				
	Never	74	75	72	76
	Once	11	10	12 15	11
	2-5 times More than 5 times	13 2	13 2	13	12 2
	Wore than 5 times	2	2	1	2
15.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about alcohol impaired driving (or drunk driving) enforcement by police? (Core Q. A-2)				
	Yes	47	48	51	42
	No	53	52	49	58
16.	In the past <u>60</u> days, have you read, seen or heard any drunk driving-related messages?				
	Yes	52	54	56	47
	No	48	46	44	53



		State	Perce Dist One	entage Dist Two	Dist Three
	Seat Belts				
17.	How often do you use safety belts when you drive or ride in a car, van, sport utility vehicle or pickup? (Core Q. B-1) Always Nearly always Sometimes Seldom Never	78 12 4 3 2	82 11 3 2 2	89 6 3 2 1	65 19 7 6 3
18.	Should law enforcement officers be allowed to stop a vehicle and write a ticket if the driver and passengers are not wearing a seat belt? Yes No Don't know	57 41 2	59 40 2	62 35 3	51 47 1
19.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you don't wear your safety belt? (Core Q. B-3) Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely	7 21 12 36 24	6 20 12 40 22	5 21 10 35 30	11 22 15 32 20
20.	What amount of fine do you think would get non-seat-belt-users to use their seat belt? \$25-50 \$51-100 \$101-150 \$151-200 Over \$200	30 26 15 6 23	29 25 18 6 22	28 25 15 8 25	33 27 13 5 22
21.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about seat belt enforcement? (Core Q. B-2) Yes No	28 72	31 69	23 77	30 70
22.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard any Click It Or Ticket seat belt messages? Yes No	44 56	50 50	37 63	44 56
23.	(IF YES) Do you remember where that was? TV Radio All others (primarily billboards and road signs) Don't know	37 15 37 12	42 13 34 11	37 7 40 16	30 24 36 10



		State	Perce Dist One	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
	Speed				
24.	On a local road with a speed limit of 30 mph, how often do you drive faster than 35 mph: (Core Q. S-1a) Most of the time Half the time Rarely Never	13 17 51 19	11 18 53 18	15 23 48 15	13 11 53 24
25.	On a local road with a speed limit of 65 mph, how often do you drive faster than 70 mph: (Core Q. S-1b) Most of the time Half the time Rarely Never	5 8 49 37	3 8 54 36	6 11 50 33	7 6 44 43
26.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2) Yes No	29 71	31 69	28 72	28 72
27.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you drive over the speed limit? (Core Q. S-3) Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely	15 38 24 18 6	12 39 26 18 6	12 36 22 23 8	21 37 25 13 4



		Percentage			
		State	Dist One	Dist Two	Dist Three
	Distracted Driving				
28.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement				
	to stop a driver and ticket them solely for talking on a cell phone while driving?				
	Support	58	56	60	57
	Oppose	41	41	38	43
	Don't know	2	3	1	1
29.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them solely for texting while driving?				
	Support	89	90	87	90
	Oppose	11	10	13	10
	Miscellaneous				
30.	Should the Nebraska law requiring motorcycle helmets				
	be repealed or continued?				
	Continue	73	76	81	62
	Repeal	23	20	14	35
	Don't know	4	4	5	4



		State	Perce Dist One	entage Dist Two	Dist Three
	Demographics				
31.	Which of these age groups are you in: 16-20 21-34 35-49 50-64 65 up	1 14 38 28 20	1 12 40 25 23	1 13 30 33 23	2 16 43 25 14
32.	Do you have a driver's license? Yes No	99 1	99 1	99 1	99 1
33.	Which of these annual household income groups are you in? Under \$40,000 \$40,000-80,000 Over \$80,000	22 37 42	20 34 46	22 35 44	23 40 37
34.	Congressional District One Two Three	34 32 34	100	100	100
35.	Phone Type Land line Cell phone	60 40	59 41	66 34	54 46
36.	Gender Male Female	48 52	46 54	48 52	51 49



Study Notes on the Longitudinal Report

Research Associates has conducted a statewide study on Nebraskans' attitudes toward highway safety issues periodically for more than two decades.

The following section presents the results of the studies conducted since 2000, wherever similar questions were asked.

A similar methodology was employed in all the studies: households were randomly selected proportionate to population within each of Nebraska's three congressional districts. A total of at least 900 telephone interviews were completed for each study.

Longitudinal comparisons are based on the statewide database.



TABULAR SUMMARY, STATEWIDE DATA, LONGITUDINAL COMPARISONS (N=900) 2000 - 2016

		Perc	enta

16 15 14 13 12 11 10 08 04 02 01 00

General

1. What do you think is the most important problem in Nebraska today? (open-ended)

usita tours. (open enacu)												
Taxes, spending, budget, school funding, etc.	31	27	17	24	16	26	19	23	35	27	29	31
Traffic, traffic violations, road conditions	14	16	26	14	6	17	23	28	20	25	31	27
Economy, unemployment, wages, etc.	12	11	19	40	23	28	15	20	17	13	14	
Government, politicians, etc.	10											
Crime, drugs, gangs, teen violence, etc.	9	11	5	7	8	6	5	11	9	18	17	12
Distracted driving	8	14	19	4	7							
Drunk driving	1	4	5	3	7	6	3	6	4	8	6	8
All others	17	19	18	29	16	22	22	17	12	6	5	8

Mean

2-4. On a 1-5 scale, with 5 as very important and 1 as not at all important, how would you rate the following problems in Nebraska

Distraction caused by drivers using cell phones
Driving while intoxicated or drunk driving
The fuel tax situation

4.3 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.3

4.4 4.5 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3

4.5 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3

4.1 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3

4.1 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3

Impaired Driving

Percentage

5. Do you think the Nebraska penalties for drunk driving are:

Too tough	5	5	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	1
About right	47	40	37	39	35	28	38	31	36	36	42
Not tough enough	48	55	60	57	62	69	60	67	61	62	57

Mean

6-8. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very ineffective and 5 being very effective, please rate how effective each of the following is in preventing drunk driving.

Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring
Motor vehicle sobriety check points
3.3
Mandatory offender education
3.3



						Perc	enta	ge					
		16	15	14	13	12			08	04	02	01	00
9-11	. Would you favor or oppose each of the following												
	penalties for drunk driving offenses:												
	Mandatory treatment												
	Favor	77	75	78	76	80	82	80		81			
	Oppose	21	20	20	23	17	14	18		13			
	Don't know	2	4	3	2	3	4	2		6			
	Mandatory sentencing												
	Favor	68	67	7	69	71	73	71	70	73			81
	Oppose	30	26	27	28	22	20	24	21	21			13
	Don't know	2	7	6	3	7	7	4	8	6			6
	Mandatory interlock ignition for 1 st time offenders												
	Favor	64	72	70	66	72	68	63	63				
	Oppose	33	25	27	31	25	26	31	26				
	Don't know	3	3	4	4	4	6	6	12				
12.	In the last 60 days, have you ever driven while												
	impaired by alcohol, but still under the legal limit?												
	Yes	10	11	8	9	7	6	7					
	No	90	89	92	91	93	94	93					
13.	What do you think the chances are of someone getting												
	arrested if they drive after drinking? (Core Q. A-3)												
	Very likely	7	10	8	5	4	4	2					
	Somewhat likely	35	34	31	8	7	7	4					
	Likely	16	16	14	48	48	49	45					
	Somewhat unlikely	30	30	32	37	40	38	47					
	Very unlikely	12	10	15	2	1	2	1					
14.	In the past 60 days, how many times have you driven a												
	motor vehicle within 2 hours after drinking alcoholic												
	beverages? (Core Q. A-1)												
	Never (none)	74	76	79	74	78	81	79					
	Once	11	11	10	15	12	9	9					
	2-5 times	13	10	9	9	9	8	10					
	More than 5 times	2	2	2	2	1	1	3					
15.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything												
	about alcohol impaired driving enforcement by police?												
	(Core Q. A-2)												
	Yes	47				56				_			
	No	53	52	54	50	44	38	49	62	68			
16.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard any												
	drunk driving-related messages?												
	Yes		53										
	No	48	47										



	Seat Belts	16	15	14	13	12	Perc 11			04	02	01	00
17.	How often do you use safety belts when you drive or ride in a car, van, sport utility vehicle or pickup? (Core Q. B-1) Always Nearly always Sometimes Seldom Never	78 12 4 3 2	76 15 5 2 2	80 11 4 2 2	78 13 5 2 2	77 13 6 3 2	78 13 5 2 2	76 14 6 2 2	81 10 2 6	77 10 4 10	70 18 9 3		70 14 5
18.	Should law enforcement officers be allowed to stop drivers and ticket them for not wearing a seat belt?* Yes No Don't know *Previous to 2012: "Should law enforcement officers be allowed to stop drivers and ticket them for not wearing a seat belt, if the drivers have broken no other laws?"	57 41 2	54 44 2	58 40 2	53 45 1	68 30 1	60 36 4	55 44 1	41 53 6	39 56 5		37 51 12	31 64 4
19.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you don't wear your safety belt? (Core Q. B-3) Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely	7 21 12 36 24	9 20 12 32 28	8 19 12 34 28	6 23 12 37 22	5 25 18 33 19	7 22 13 37 21	5 22 11 36 27					
20.	What level of fine do you think would be most effective to get people to wear their seat belt all the time? \$25-50 \$51-100 \$101-150 \$151-200 Over \$200	30 26 15 6 23	35 28 13 5 19	31 25 18 6 21	28 30 16 5 21	30 28 18 6 18							
21.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about seat belt law enforcement by police? (Core Q. B-2) Yes No	28 72	27 73	24 76	23 77	29 71		24 76		37 63			
22.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard any Click It Or Ticket seat belt messages? Yes No	44 56	52 48										
23.	Do you remember where that was? TV Radio Billboard/road sign Others (primarily billboard/road sign) Don't know	37 15 37 12	45 17 21 11 7										17



					Perc	enta	ge				
16	15	14	13	12	11	10	08	04	02	01	00

Speed

24.	On a local road with a speed limit of 30 mph, how often
	do you drive faster than 35 mph: (Core Q. S-1a)

Most of the time	13	14	11	13	10	8	8
Half the time	17	17	16	16	15	13	15
Rarely	51	48	49	48	53	55	54
Never	19	21	25	23	22	24	23

25. On a local road with a speed limit of 65 mph, how often do you drive faster than **70** mph: (Core Q. S-1b)

Most of the time	5	6	6	4	4	3	3
Half the time	8	10	9	9	6	5	8
Rarely	49	42	35	39	45	37	42
Never	37	42	50	47	45	56	47

26. In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speed enforcement?

(Core Q. S-2)

Yes	29	37	37	40	40	42	38
No	71	63	63	60	60	58	62

^{*}Previously asked: "In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speed enforcement by police?"

27. What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you drive over the speed limit?

(Core Q. S-3)

15	16	16	12	14	13	12
38	36	38	40	41	38	39
24	25	22	25	26	27	24
18	19	16	18	14	17	19
6	5	8	6	5	5	6
	38 24 18	38 36 24 25 18 19	38 36 38 24 25 22 18 19 16	38 36 38 40 24 25 22 25 18 19 16 18	38 36 38 40 41 24 25 22 25 26 18 19 16 18 14	15 16 16 12 14 13 38 36 38 40 41 38 24 25 22 25 26 27 18 19 16 18 14 17 6 5 8 6 5 5



Percentage

16 15 14 13 12 11 10 08 04 02 01 00

Distracted Driving

28. Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement to stop a driver ticket them solely for talking on a cell phone while driving?

Support	58	61	66	62	65
Oppose	41	37	32	37	32
Don't know	2	2	2	1	3

29. Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them solely for texting while driving?

Support	89	90	92	88	89
Oppose	11	9	7	11	10
Don't know		1	1	1	1

Miscellaneous

30. Should the Nebraska law requiring motorcycle helmets be repealed or continued?

Continued	73	74	77	81	83	86	76	78	79
Repealed	23	22	19	18	14	11	19	14	15
Don't know	4	4	5	2	3	4	5	8	7



]	Perce	entag	ge				
16	15	14	13	12	11	10	08	04	02	01	00

Demographics

31. Which of these age groups are you in:	5 4	
16-20 1 3 4 6 4 2 3 3 4	.) 4	4
21-34	18 16 2	23
35-49 38 33 25 28 27 19 39 19 27		2 <i>3</i> 31
50-64 28 40 30 33 35 34 30 33 29		18
		-
65 up 20 16 23 20 25 40 20 41 26	27 28 2	23
32. Do you have a driver's license?		
Yes 99 98 96 98 97 97 96 95 97	97 97 9	97
No 1 2 4 2 3 3 4 5 3	3 3	3
33. Which of these annual household income groups		
are you in:*	24 22	1.0
Under \$40,000 22 19 24 10 10 13 15 20 21		18
\$40,000-80,000 37 36 37 20 21 23 16 30 29		51
Over \$80,000 42 45 39 70 69 65 69 50 51	37 31 3	31
34. District		
One 34 33 31 30 30 34 34 33 33	33 33 3	33
Two 32 33 37 35 36 35 33 33	34 34 3	35
Three 34 34 32 35 35 30 30 33 33	33 33 3	32
35. Phone Type		
Traditional landline 60 78 81 87 94		
Cell phone 40 22 19 13 6		
Con phone 40 22 17 15 0		
36. Gender		
Male 48 48 50 49 48 47 48 48 47	48 45 4	47
Female 52 52 50 51 52 53 52 52 53	52 55 5	53

* * *



^{* &#}x27;04-'13: under \$20,000; \$20,000-40,000; over \$40,000 '01 and '02: under \$25,000; \$25,000-50,000; over \$50,000 '95: under \$18,000; \$18,000-36,000; over \$36,000

Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Study, 2016 THE NEBRASKA POLL May 2016

TABULAR REPORT

(frequency distributions and crosstab tables)*

		State N=900	Dist One	entage Dist Two N=292	Dist Three N=304
	General				
1.	First, what do you think is the biggest problem in Nebraska today? (Open Ended)				
	Taxes/spending/budget, education funding, etc.	31	30	32	31
	Roads/streets/traffic, etc.	14	15	13	13
	Economy/drought/water/jobs/wages, etc.	12	12	11	12
	Government, politicians, etc.	10	10	11	7
	Crime/drugs/gangs/vandalism, etc.	9	4	11	10
	Distracted driving	8	9	6	9
	Drunk drivers	1			1
	Others	17	19	14	17

*(NOTE: Crosstab tables are computed on the statewide results.)



Gender * Problem Crosstabulation

			Problem									
	Other Econ Crime Taxes Roads Dist drive Drnk drvrs Gov											
Gender	Male	17.2%	10.2%	5.9%	34.2%	13.6%	7.6%	0.6%	10.7%			
	Female	16.3%	13.5%	11.0%	27.9%	14.1%	8.2%	0.6%	8.5%			
Total		16.8%	11.8%	8.5%	31.0%	13.8%	7.9%	0.6%	9.6%			

Age * Problem Crosstabulation

			Problem									
		Other	Other Econ Crime Taxes Roads Dist drive Drnk drvrs Gov									
Age	16-20	25.0%		12.5%		50.0%	12.5%					
	21-34	27.4%	7.4%	6.3%	17.9%	21.1%	10.5%		9.5%			
	35-49	17.2%	14.1%	8.0%	29.0%	15.3%	7.6%	0.8%	8.0%			
	50-64	15.0%	10.0%	12.5%	37.0%	9.5%	5.5%	0.5%	10.0%			
	65 up	11.1%	13.9%	4.9%	36.8%	10.4%	9.7%	0.7%	12.5%			
Total		16.8%	11.8%	8.5%	31.0%	13.8%	7.9%	0.6%	9.6%			

District * Problem Crosstabulation

			Problem									
		Other	her Econ Crime Taxes Roads Dist drive Drnk drvrs Gov									
District	One	19.1%	12.3%	4.3%	29.8%	15.3%	8.9%		10.2%			
	Two	13.9%	11.3%	11.3%	31.9%	13.4%	6.3%	0.4%	11.3%			
	Three	17.4%	11.9%	9.7%	31.4%	12.7%	8.5%	1.3%	7.2%			
Total		16.8%	11.8%	8.5%	31.0%	13.8%	7.9%	0.6%	9.6%			

Phone type * Problem Crosstabulation

			i ilolic	type i ioi	olelli Oloss	labulation					
			Problem								
		Other	Econ	Crime	Taxes	Roads	Dist drive	Drnk drvrs	Gov		
Phone type	Land	14.4%	13.4%	9.7%	35.6%	9.7%	6.4%	0.5%	10.4%		
	Cell	20.4%	9.3%	6.8%	24.6%	20.0%	9.6%	0.7%	8.6%		
Total		16.8%	11.8%	8.5%	31.3%	13.8%	7.7%	0.6%	9.7%		

Income * Problem Crosstabulation

	Problem								
		Other	Econ	Crime	Taxes	Roads	Dist drive	Drnk drvrs	Gov
Income	Under \$40,000	16.3%	8.5%	9.3%	20.9%	20.9%	13.2%	1.6%	9.3%
	\$40,000-80,000	20.5%	12.1%	10.9%	28.0%	15.1%	3.8%	0.4%	9.2%
	Over \$80,000	14.5%	13.4%	6.2%	37.9%	11.4%	7.6%	0.3%	8.6%
Total		17.0%	12.0%	8.5%	31.0%	14.6%	7.3%	0.6%	9.0%



2-4.	On a 1-5 scale, with 5 as very important and 1 as not at all important, how would you rate the following problems in Nebraska:	State	Dist	entage Dist Two	Dist Three
	How important is the problem of distraction caused by drivers using cell phones or other electronic devices?	4.32	4.33	4.37	4.27
	How important is the problem of driving while intoxicated or drunk driving?	4.24	4.22	4.29	4.20
	How important is the fuel tax situation?	3.27	3.17	3.15	3.48



Age * Cell phone distraction Crosstabulation

			Cell phone distraction						
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total		
Age	16-20	7.7%	7.7%		23.1%	61.5%	100.0%		
	21-34	1.6%	5.6%	13.6%	30.4%	48.8%	100.0%		
	35-49	3.0%	5.3%	11.6%	24.0%	56.1%	100.0%		
	50-64	2.8%	2.8%	9.7%	27.5%	57.1%	100.0%		
	65 up	2.8%	4.0%	5.7%	9.7%	77.8%	100.0%		
Total		2.8%	4.5%	10.0%	23.1%	59.7%	100.0%		

District * Cell phone distraction Crosstabulation

			Cell	phone distra	ction		
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total
District	One	2.3%	4.3%	11.2%	22.8%	59.4%	100.0%
	Two	3.1%	3.4%	8.9%	22.6%	62.0%	100.0%
	Three	3.0%	5.6%	10.2%	23.7%	57.6%	100.0%
Total		2.8%	4.4%	10.1%	23.0%	59.6%	100.0%

Phone type * Cell phone distraction Crosstabulation

		i none type och p	7110110 G	iotraotion o	oootabalati	011					
			Cell phone distraction								
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total				
Phone type	Land	2.4%	3.4%	9.0%	21.6%	63.6%	100.0%				
	Cell	3.1%	5.8%	11.9%	25.3%	53.9%	100.0%				
Total		2.7%	4.4%	10.2%	23.1%	59.7%	100.0%				

Gender * Cell phone distraction Crosstabulation

		Cell phone distraction						
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total	
Gender	Male	3.2%	7.1%	11.5%	24.9%	53.2%	100.0%	
	Female	2.4%	1.9%	8.8%	21.3%	65.6%	100.0%	
Total		2.8%	4.4%	10.1%	23.0%	59.6%	100.0%	

Income * Cell phone distraction Crosstabulation

		Cell phone distraction						
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	2.2%	3.9%	10.7%	20.8%	62.4%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	2.6%	4.3%	10.5%	23.0%	59.5%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	3.1%	4.8%	10.0%	25.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
Total		2.8%	4.4%	10.3%	23.8%	58.7%	100.0%	



Age * Driving while intoxicated Crosstabulation

			Drivin	g while intox	icated		
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total
Age	16-20	7.7%				92.3%	100.0%
	21-34	0.8%	5.7%	17.1%	26.0%	50.4%	100.0%
	35-49	2.1%	7.8%	17.1%	23.4%	49.7%	100.0%
	50-64	2.9%	4.9%	17.6%	15.9%	58.8%	100.0%
	65 up	1.7%	3.4%	11.4%	11.9%	71.6%	100.0%
Т	otal	2.1%	5.7%	15.8%	19.1%	57.2%	100.0%

District * Driving while intoxicated Crosstabulation

	,		Driving while intoxicated						
	Not at all important 2 3 4 Very important					Total			
District	One	1.7%	5.3%	18.5%	18.2%	56.3%	100.0%		
	Two	2.4%	4.8%	14.5%	18.0%	60.2%	100.0%		
	Three	2.3%	7.0%	14.3%	21.3%	55.1%	100.0%		
Tota	al	2.1%	5.7%	15.8%	19.2%	57.2%	100.0%		

Phone type * Driving while intoxicated Crosstabulation

			Drivin	Driving while intoxicated				
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total	
Phone type	Land	1.5%	5.9%	16.3%	16.9%	59.5%	100.0%	
	Cell	3.1%	5.6%	15.4%	22.6%	53.4%	100.0%	
Total	2.1%	5.8%	15.9%	19.2%	57.0%	100.0%		

Gender * Driving while intoxicated Crosstabulation

	Driving while intoxicated						
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total
Gender	Male	3.0%	9.0%	18.3%	21.3%	48.3%	100.0%
	Female	1.3%	2.6%	13.4%	17.1%	65.5%	100.0%
Total 2.1% 5.7% 15.8% 19					19.2%	57.2%	100.0%

Income * Driving while intoxicated Crosstabulation

ii		Drivin	Driving while intoxicated				
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	2.8%	5.1%	11.3%	16.9%	63.8%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	1.3%	6.3%	18.6%	16.3%	57.5%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	2.3%	6.3%	16.6%	22.9%	51.9%	100.0%
	Total	2.1%	6.0%	16.2%	19.2%	56.5%	100.0%



Age * Fuel tax Crosstabulation

			Fuel tax						
		Not at all important	Not at all important 2 3		4 Very important		Total		
Age	16-20	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	100.0%		
	21-34	7.5%	17.5%	36.7%	23.3%	15.0%	100.0%		
	35-49	12.9%	11.4%	34.8%	17.8%	23.1%	100.0%		
	50-64	12.3%	17.0%	31.1%	16.6%	23.0%	100.0%		
	65 up	17.0%	4.8%	29.7%	17.0%	31.5%	100.0%		
Т	otal	12.7%	12.5%	33.3%	18.2%	23.3%	100.0%		

District * Fuel tax Crosstabulation

				Fuel tax			
	Not at all important 2 3 4 Very important		Very important	Total			
District	One	13.4%	14.5%	32.8%	20.0%	19.3%	100.0%
	Two	17.1%	13.5%	29.8%	16.7%	22.9%	100.0%
	Three	7.8%	9.6%	37.2%	17.7%	27.6%	100.0%
Total		12.7%	12.5%	33.3%	18.2%	23.3%	100.0%

Phone type * Fuel tax Crosstabulation

Thone type Tuertux Grosstabalation										
			Fuel tax							
	Not at all important 2 3 4 Very important									
Phone type	Land	13.6%	12.5%	33.0%	18.0%	22.9%	100.0%			
	Cell	11.5%	12.4%	34.3%	18.4%	23.3%	100.0%			
Total		12.8%	12.4%	33.5%	18.2%	23.1%	100.0%			

Gender * Fuel tax Crosstabulation

	Fuel tax						
		Not at all important	2	3	4	Very important	Total
Gender	Male	16.5%	15.6%	29.8%	16.5%	21.5%	100.0%
	Female	9.0%	9.4%	36.8%	19.8%	25.1%	100.0%
То	Total 12.7% 12.5% 33.3% 18.2% 23.3%				100.0%		

Income * Fuel tax Crosstabulation

		Fuel tax					
	Not at all important 2 3 4 Very important						Total
Income	Under \$40,000	9.1%	10.3%	32.7%	19.4%	28.5%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	12.5%	12.2%	33.6%	18.0%	23.7%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	15.7%	14.2%	34.3%	17.2%	18.6%	100.0%
	Total	13.2%	12.7%	33.7%	17.9%	22.6%	100.0%



		Percer Dist State One		Dist	Dist Three
	Impaired Driving				
5.	Do you think the Nebraska penalties for drunk driving are:				
	Too tough	5	4	4	7
	About right	47	52	39	49
	Not tough enough	48	45	57	44



Age * Drunk driving penalty toughness Crosstabulation

		Drun	nk driving penalt	y toughness	
		Too tough	About right	Not tough enough	Total
Age	16-20	8.3%	41.7%	50.0%	100.0%
	21-34	4.4%	52.2%	43.4%	100.0%
	35-49	5.6%	52.0%	42.4%	100.0%
	50-64	6.4%	45.5%	48.1%	100.0%
	65 up	2.5%	34.0%	63.6%	100.0%
Total		5.1%	46.5%	48.4%	100.0%

District * Drunk driving penalty toughness Crosstabulation

		Drun	Drunk driving penalty toughness				
		Too tough	About right	Not tough enough	Total		
District	One	3.9%	51.6%	44.5%	100.0%		
	Two	4.2%	38.5%	57.3%	100.0%		
	Three	7.2%	48.9%	43.9%	100.0%		
Total		5.1%	46.5%	48.4%	100.0%		

Phone type * Drunk driving penalty toughness Crosstabulation

Thome type Brunk arrying penalty toughness crosstabulation										
Drunk driving penalty toughness										
		Too tough	About right	Not tough enough	Total					
Phone type	Land	5.3%	43.3%	51.3%	100.0%					
	Cell	4.8%	51.7%	43.5%	100.0%					
Total		5.1%	46.7%	48.2%	100.0%					

Gender * Drunk driving penalty toughness Crosstabulation

Gender Drunk driving penalty toughness crosstabulation										
		Drunk	Drunk driving penalty toughness							
		Too tough	About right	Not tough enough	Total					
Gender	Male	5.5%	53.3%	41.3%	100.0%					
	Female	4.7%	40.2%	55.1%	100.0%					
Total		5.1%	46.5%	48.4%	100.0%					

Income * Drunk driving penalty toughness Crosstabulation

reading periods to agricultural and the control of the cont									
		Drun							
		Too tough	About right	Not tough enough	Total				
Income	Under \$40,000	7.1%	42.3%	50.6%	100.0%				
	\$40,000-80,000	4.5%	45.5%	50.0%	100.0%				
	Over \$80,000	5.3%	50.0%	44.7%	100.0%				
Total		5.3%	46.7%	47.9%	100.0%				



		State	Perc Dist One		Dist Three
	a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very ineffective and 5 being very effective, se rate how effective each of the following is in preventing drunk driving.				
6.	Mandatory offender education	3.30	3.33	3.33	3.24
7.	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring	3.63	3.66	3.62	3.61
8.	Motor vehicle sobriety check points	3.33	3.35	3.33	3.31



Age * Mandatory offender education Crosstabulation

	Mandatory offender education						
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total
Age	16-20	23.1%		53.8%	15.4%	7.7%	100.0%
	21-34	6.6%	17.2%	32.0%	22.1%	22.1%	100.0%
	35-49	14.9%	20.9%	26.0%	15.2%	23.0%	100.0%
l .	50-64	10.2%	16.3%	24.5%	20.4%	28.6%	100.0%
	65 up	16.2%	9.8%	20.8%	12.1%	41.0%	100.0%
To	otal	12.8%	16.7%	25.8%	17.0%	27.7%	100.0%

District * Mandatory offender education Crosstabulation

			Mandatory offender education							
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total			
District	One	11.3%	16.0%	28.0%	17.7%	27.0%	100.0%			
	Two	14.2%	15.3%	24.3%	15.3%	30.9%	100.0%			
	Three	13.0%	18.9%	24.9%	17.9%	25.2%	100.0%			
Total		12.8%	16.8%	25.8%	17.0%	27.7%	100.0%			

Phone type * Mandatory offender education Crosstabulation

i none type imandatory offender education orosstabulation									
	Mandatory offender education								
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total		
Phone type	Land	12.7%	16.7%	25.2%	16.3%	29.0%	100.0%		
	Cell	12.9%	16.6%	26.7%	18.3%	25.6%	100.0%		
Total		12.8%	16.6%	25.8%	17.1%	27.6%	100.0%		

Gender * Mandatory offender education Crosstabulation

			Mandato	ry offender e	ducation		
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total
Gender Male		13.9%	18.1%	27.8%	16.7%	23.4%	100.0%
	Female	11.8%	15.5%	23.8%	17.2%	31.7%	100.0%
Total		12.8%	16.8%	25.8%	17.0%	27.7%	100.0%

Income * Mandatory offender education Crosstabulation

moonio manaatory ononaoi oaaoation oroootabalation									
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total		
Income	Under \$40,000	13.0%	10.7%	22.6%	19.2%	34.5%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	10.3%	15.2%	26.2%	15.6%	32.8%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	15.3%	22.0%	26.9%	17.1%	18.8%	100.0%		
	Total	13.0%	17.1%	25.7%	17.0%	27.3%	100.0%		



Age * Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring Crosstabulation

		Ma	andatory daily	y alcohol offe	nder monitor	ing	
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total
Age	16-20	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	100.0%
	21-34	9.3%	8.5%	17.8%	33.1%	31.4%	100.0%
	35-49	10.9%	9.1%	21.5%	27.9%	30.6%	100.0%
	50-64	9.3%	9.3%	23.3%	25.0%	33.1%	100.0%
	65 up	9.5%	10.7%	23.2%	16.1%	40.5%	100.0%
Т	otal	10.0%	9.4%	21.6%	25.7%	33.3%	100.0%

District * Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring Crosstabulation

Biother managery and alcohol cholicol monitoring crocotabalation									
	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring								
	Very ineffective 2 3 4 Very effective				Total				
District	One	8.5%	9.5%	23.5%	24.8%	33.7%	100.0%		
	Two	11.8%	8.6%	20.8%	23.7%	35.1%	100.0%		
	Three	9.6%	10.3%	20.5%	28.4%	31.2%	100.0%		
Total		9.9%	9.5%	21.6%	25.7%	33.3%	100.0%		

Phone type * Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring Crosstabulation

	Thome type - mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring of osstabalation								
		Ma	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring						
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total		
Phone typ	e Land	9.6%	10.9%	23.0%	22.6%	33.9%	100.0%		
	Cell	10.4%	7.2%	19.9%	30.0%	32.6%	100.0%		
To	otal	9.9%	9.4%	21.7%	25.6%	33.4%	100.0%		

Gender * Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring Crosstabulation

	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring						
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total
Gender	Male	11.8%	10.9%	24.6%	24.8%	27.9%	100.0%
	Female	8.1%	8.1%	18.8%	26.5%	38.5%	100.0%
То	tal	9.9%	9.5%	21.6%	25.7%	33.3%	100.0%

Income * Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring Crosstabulation

	income " W	andatory daily al	conoi onen	aer monitoi	ing Crossia	abulation		
		Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring						
		Very ineffective	ry ineffective 2 3 4 Very effective					
Income	Under \$40,000	12.6%	8.6%	22.4%	23.0%	33.3%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	8.8%	9.5%	19.7%	24.5%	37.4%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	8.9%	10.4%	22.8%	27.9%	30.0%	100.0%	
	Total	9.7%	9.7%	21.6%	25.6%	33.4%	100.0%	



Age * Sobriety check points Crosstabulation

			Sobriety check points					
Very ineffective 2 3 4		4	Very effective	Total				
Age	16-20	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%	100.0%	
	21-34	17.7%	12.9%	29.8%	21.8%	17.7%	100.0%	
	35-49	14.8%	18.1%	24.6%	19.6%	22.8%	100.0%	
	50-64	10.2%	10.6%	33.5%	22.9%	22.9%	100.0%	
	65 up	9.8%	8.0%	21.8%	20.7%	39.7%	100.0%	
T	otal	12.9%	13.2%	27.1%	21.3%	25.5%	100.0%	

District * Sobriety check points Crosstabulation

			, ,						
			Sob	riety check po	oints				
	Very ineffective 2 3 4 Very effective				Total				
District	One	9.6%	13.9%	30.8%	23.2%	22.5%	100.0%		
	Two	13.8%	13.8%	24.8%	20.7%	26.9%	100.0%		
	Three	15.2%	12.3%	25.5%	19.9%	27.2%	100.0%		
Total		12.9%	13.3%	27.1%	21.3%	25.5%	100.0%		

Phone type * Sobriety check points Crosstabulation

1	Thone type Cobhety check points of osstabalation								
		Sobriety check points							
			Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total	
	Phone type	Land	10.9%	11.9%	27.9%	20.9%	28.3%	100.0%	
		Cell	15.1%	15.4%	25.7%	22.1%	21.8%	100.0%	
	Total		12.6%	13.3%	27.0%	21.4%	25.7%	100.0%	

Gender * Sobriety check points Crosstabulation

	Sobriety check points						
		Very ineffective	2	3	4	Very effective	Total
Gender	Male	16.9%	16.6%	26.1%	18.2%	22.2%	100.0%
	Female	9.1%	10.2%	28.0%	24.1%	28.6%	100.0%
Total		12.9%	13.3%	27.1%	21.3%	25.5%	100.0%

Income * Sobriety check points Crosstabulation

meente gestiet enten pomis eressussumen									
		_	Sobriety check points						
		Very ineffective	Very ineffective 2 3 4 Very effective						
Income	Under \$40,000	14.1%	10.7%	23.7%	27.1%	24.3%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	11.9%	13.2%	27.7%	18.2%	29.0%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	13.8%	14.1%	29.0%	21.6%	21.6%	100.0%		
	Total	13.2%	13.0%	27.4%	21.5%	24.9%	100.0%		



		State	Perce Dist One	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
	ld you favor or oppose each of the following penalties for k driving:				
9.	Mandatory sentencing for drunk driving offenses				
	Favor	68	69	69	66
	Oppose	30	29	30	32
	Don't know	2	2	2	3



Age * Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation

		Ма	ndatory sent	encing	
		Favor Oppose		Don't know	Total
Age	16-20	69.2%	30.8%		100.0%
	21-34	64.0%	36.0%		100.0%
	35-49	66.0%	30.5%	3.6%	100.0%
	50-64	70.4%	28.3%	1.2%	100.0%
	65 up	69.3%	27.3%	3.4%	100.0%
1	otal	67.6%	30.0%	2.3%	100.0%

District * Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation

Diotrice mandatory contonoling or coctabalation					
		Mandatory sentencing			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
District	One	68.8%	28.9%	2.3%	100.0%
	Two	68.5%	29.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	Three	65.5%	31.6%	3.0%	100.0%
Total		67.6%	30.1%	2.3%	100.0%

Phone type * Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation

Thone type imandatory sentencing crosstabalation					
		Mandatory sentencing			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Phone type	Land	68.5%	29.0%	2.4%	100.0%
	Cell	65.8%	31.9%	2.2%	100.0%
Total		67.4%	30.2%	2.3%	100.0%

Gender * Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation

Gender " Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation						
		Mandatory sentencing				
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total	
Gender	Male	60.9%	37.0%	2.1%	100.0%	
	Female	73.8%	23.7%	2.6%	100.0%	
Total		67.6%	30.1%	2.3%	100.0%	

Income * Mandatory sentencing Crosstabulation

		Ма				
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	66.5%	31.3%	2.2%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	71.1%	27.3%	1.6%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	63.5%	33.3%	3.1%	100.0%	
Total		66.9%	30.7%	2.4%	100.0%	



			Percentage		
		State	Dist One	Dist Two	Dist Three
10.	Mandatory treatment for drunk driving offenders				
10.	Favor	77	76	79	76
	Oppose	21	21	20	22
	Don't know	2	3	1	2



Age * Mandatory treatment Crosstabulation

		Mandatory treatment			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Age	16-20	84.6%	15.4%		100.0%
	21-34	78.4%	21.6%		100.0%
	35-49	74.6%	24.3%	1.2%	100.0%
	50-64	78.5%	18.2%	3.2%	100.0%
	65 up	77.8%	18.8%	3.4%	100.0%
Total		77.0%	21.0%	2.0%	100.0%

District * Mandatory treatment Crosstabulation

Mandatory treatment			tment		
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
District	One	76.0%	21.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	Two	78.8%	20.2%	1.0%	100.0%
	Three	76.0%	21.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Total		76.9%	21.1%	2.0%	100.0%

Phone type * Mandatory treatment Crosstabulation

		Ma	andatory trea	tment	
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Phone type	Land	76.2%	21.3%	2.4%	100.0%
	Cell	78.3%	20.3%	1.4%	100.0%
Total		77.1%	20.9%	2.0%	100.0%

Gender * Mandatory treatment Crosstabulation

		Mandatory treatment			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Gender	Male	74.5%	24.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	Female	79.1%	18.3%	2.6%	100.0%
Total		76.9%	21.1%	2.0%	100.0%

Income * Mandatory treatment Crosstabulation

		Ma			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	76.0%	22.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	83.2%	15.8%	1.0%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	71.8%	25.4%	2.8%	100.0%
Total		76.9%	21.3%	1.8%	100.0%



		Percentage			
		State	Dist One	Dist Two	Dist Three
11.	Mandatory interlock ignition for all first-time offenders				
	Favor	64	67	60	66
	Oppose	33	30	36	31
	Don't know	3	3	3	3



Age * Mandatory interlock ignition Crosstabulation

		Mandatory interlock ignition			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Age	16-20	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%	100.0%
	21-34	64.8%	32.8%	2.4%	100.0%
	35-49	63.0%	34.3%	2.7%	100.0%
	50-64	67.2%	29.1%	3.6%	100.0%
	65 up	63.1%	34.1%	2.8%	100.0%
Total		64.5%	32.5%	3.0%	100.0%

District * Mandatory interlock ignition Crosstabulation

	Mandatory interlock ignition				
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
District	One	67.1%	30.3%	2.6%	100.0%
	Two	60.3%	36.3%	3.4%	100.0%
	Three	65.8%	31.3%	3.0%	100.0%
Total		64.4%	32.6%	3.0%	100.0%

Phone type * Mandatory interlock ignition Crosstabulation

		Mand	atory interloc	k ignition	
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Phone type	Land	64.2%	32.4%	3.4%	100.0%
	Cell	65.3%	32.5%	2.2%	100.0%
Total		64.7%	32.4%	2.9%	100.0%

Gender * Mandatory interlock ignition Crosstabulation

		Mand			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Gender	Male	60.0%	37.5%	2.5%	100.0%
	Female	68.6%	28.0%	3.4%	100.0%
Total		64.4%	32.6%	3.0%	100.0%

Income * Mandatory interlock ignition Crosstabulation

	Mandatory interlock ignition				
		Favor	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	61.5%	36.9%	1.7%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	65.5%	31.6%	3.0%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	67.2%	29.9%	2.8%	100.0%
Total		65.3%	32.0%	2.6%	100.0%



		State	Perce Dist One	entage Dist Two	Dist Three
12.	In the last 60 days, have you ever driven while impaired by alcohol, but still under the legal limit? Yes No	10 90	9 91	11 89	11 89



Age * Driven while alcohol impaired Crosstabulation

		Driven while al	į	
		Yes	Total	
Age	16-20		100.0%	100.0%
	21-34	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
	35-49	11.3%	88.7%	100.0%
	50-64	10.2%	89.8%	100.0%
	65 up	5.2% 94.8%		100.0%
Т	otal	10.2%	89.8%	100.0%

District * Driven while alcohol impaired Crosstabulation

		Driven while al	Driven while alcohol impaired		
		Yes	No	Total	
District	One	9.0%	91.0%	100.0%	
	Two	11.0%	89.0%	100.0%	
	Three	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	
Total		10.2%	89.8%	100.0%	

Phone type * Driven while alcohol impaired Crosstabulation

		Driven while al		
		Yes	Total	
Phone type	Land	8.2%	91.8%	100.0%
Cell		13.1%	86.9%	100.0%
Total		10.2% 89.8%		100.0%

Gender * Driven while alcohol impaired Crosstabulation

	1	Driven while al		
		Yes	No	Total
Gender	Male	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%
	Female	5.7%	94.3%	100.0%
Total		10.2%	89.8%	100.0%

Income * Driven while alcohol impaired Crosstabulation

		Driven while al	Total		
		Yes	No	TOtal	
Income	Under \$40,000	8.5%	91.5%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%	
Over \$80,000		12.6%	87.4%	100.0%	
	Total	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%	



		State	Perce Dist One	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
13.	What do you think the chances are of someone getting arrested if they drive after drinking? (Core Q. A-3) Very likely Somewhat likely Likely	7 35 16	6 36 16	6 31 15	9 38 18
	Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely	30 12	31 12	34 15	25 11



Age * Chances of arrest for driving after drinking Crosstabulation

	·		Chances of arrest for driving after drinking							
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Total			
Age	16-20		61.5%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	100.0%			
	21-34	6.4%	33.6%	19.2%	32.8%	8.0%	100.0%			
	35-49	8.9%	33.5%	17.5%	28.8%	11.3%	100.0%			
	50-64	4.1%	35.1%	17.8%	31.0%	12.0%	100.0%			
	65 up	8.0%	36.2%	10.3%	28.2%	17.2%	100.0%			
Total		7.0%	34.9%	16.3%	29.6%	12.2%	100.0%			

District * Chances of arrest for driving after drinking Crosstabulation

			Chances of arrest for driving after drinking						
	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely					Very unlikely	Total		
District	One	6.0%	36.1%	15.9%	30.5%	11.6%	100.0%		
	Two	6.2%	31.0%	14.5%	33.8%	14.5%	100.0%		
	Three	8.7%	37.7%	18.3%	24.7%	10.7%	100.0%		
Total		7.0%	35.0%	16.3%	29.6%	12.2%	100.0%		

Phone type * Chances of arrest for driving after drinking Crosstabulation

i none type onunces of arrest for arriving after arriving orosstabalation										
			Chances of arrest for driving after drinking							
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Total			
Phone type	Land	5.9%	34.9%	14.8%	30.7%	13.7%	100.0%			
	Cell	8.4%	35.4%	18.7%	28.1%	9.5%	100.0%			
Total		6.9%	35.1%	16.4%	29.7%	12.0%	100.0%			

Gender * Chances of arrest for driving after drinking Crosstabulation

			Chances of arrest for driving after drinking						
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Total		
Gender	Male	6.7%	35.6%	15.0%	25.4%	17.3%	100.0%		
	Female	7.2%	34.4%	17.4%	33.6%	7.4%	100.0%		
Total		7.0%	35.0%	16.3%	29.6%	12.2%	100.0%		

Income * Chances of arrest for driving after drinking Crosstabulation

		ggg						
			Chances of arrest for driving after drinking					
	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely							
Income	Under \$40,000	11.2%	36.3%	22.9%	24.6%	5.0%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	7.9%	35.1%	17.2%	26.5%	13.2%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	4.9%	35.0%	11.7%	34.4%	14.0%	100.0%	
Total		7.3%	35.3%	16.1%	29.4%	11.8%	100.0%	



		State One Two 7 74			
		State			Dist Three
14.	In the past 60 days, how many times have you driven a motor vehicle within 2 hours after drinking alcoholic beverages? (Core Q. A-1)				
	Never	74	75	72	76
	Once	11	10	12	11
	2-5 times	13	13	15	12
	More than 5 times	2	2	1	2



Age * Driven within 2 hours after drinking Crosstabulation

		Dri	Driven within 2 hours after drinking					
		Never	Once	2-5 times	+ 5 times	Total		
Age	16-20	100.0%				100.0%		
	21-34	61.8%	15.4%	19.5%	3.3%	100.0%		
	35-49	70.6%	10.8%	15.9%	2.7%	100.0%		
	50-64	76.6%	11.1%	11.9%	0.4%	100.0%		
	65 up	82.9%	9.1%	7.4%	0.6%	100.0%		
Total		73.9%	11.0%	13.4%	1.7%	100.0%		

District * Driven within 2 hours after drinking Crosstabulation

		Dri	Driven within 2 hours after drinking			
		Never	Once	2-5 times	+ 5 times	Total
District	One	74.5%	10.4%	13.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	Two	71.5%	12.2%	14.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	Three	75.6%	10.6%	12.2%	1.7%	100.0%
Total		73.9%	11.0%	13.4%	1.7%	100.0%

Phone type * Driven within 2 hours after drinking Crosstabulation

Thore type Briven within 2 hours after armking crosstabalation							
		Dri	ven within 2	hours after drin	king		
		Never	Once	2-5 times	+ 5 times	Total	
Phone type	Land	77.4%	11.0%	10.8%	0.8%	100.0%	
	Cell	68.6%	10.9%	17.4%	3.1%	100.0%	
Total		73.9%	11.0%	13.5%	1.7%	100.0%	

Gender * Driven within 2 hours after drinking Crosstabulation

		Dri	ven within 2	hours after drir	ıking	
		Never	Once	2-5 times	+ 5 times	Total
Gender	Male	65.7%	13.1%	18.4%	2.8%	100.0%
	Female	81.5%	9.1%	8.7%	0.7%	100.0%
Total		73.9%	11.0%	13.4%	1.7%	100.0%

Income * Driven within 2 hours after drinking Crosstabulation

		Dri	Driven within 2 hours after drinking				
		Never	Once	2-5 times	+ 5 times	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	83.1%	7.9%	8.4%	0.6%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	76.2%	9.2%	11.2%	3.3%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	66.3%	14.1%	18.4%	1.2%	100.0%	
Total		73.6%	11.0%	13.6%	1.8%	100.0%	



		State	Perce Dist One	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
15.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about alcohol impaired driving (or drunk driving) enforcement by police? (Core Q. A-2) Yes	47	48	51	42
	No	53	52	49	58



Age * Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

	Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness			
		Yes	No	Total
Age	16-20	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
	21-34	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
	35-49	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
	50-64	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%
	65 up	54.0%	46.0%	100.0%
Total		47.0%	53.0%	100.0%

District * Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

		Drunk driving laws er		
		Yes	No	Total
District	One	48.3%	51.7%	100.0%
	Two	51.2%	48.8%	100.0%
	Three	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
Total		47.0%	53.0%	100.0%

Phone type * Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

Thomas type Brank arring land officionistic anaronese erestasaiation							
		Drunk driving laws					
		Yes	No	Total			
Phone type	Land	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%			
	Cell	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%			
Total		47.0%	53.0%	100.0%			

Gender * Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

		Drunk driving laws		
		Yes	No	Total
Gender	Male	48.3%	51.7%	100.0%
	Female	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
Total		47.0%	53.0%	100.0%

Income * Drunk driving laws enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

moonio Brank arring laws officionic availations							
		Drunk driving laws e	enforcement awareness				
		Yes	No	Total			
Income	Under \$40,000	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%			
	\$40,000-80,000	47.7%	52.3%	100.0%			
	Over \$80,000	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%			
Total		47.5%	52.5%	100.0%			



		State	Dist	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
16.	In the past <u>60</u> days, have you read, seen or heard any drunk driving-related messages?				
	Yes No	52 48	54 46	56 44	47 53



Age * Drunk-driving messages awareness Crosstabulation

i	·	Aware	eness	
		Yes No		Total
Age	16-20	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%
	21-34	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
	35-49	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
	50-64	53.5%	46.5%	100.0%
	65 up	53.5%	46.5%	100.0%
Total		52.3%	47.7%	100.0%

District * Drunk-driving messages awareness Crosstabulation

		aAare		
		Yes No		Total
District	One	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%
	Two	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
	Three	47.0%	53.0%	100.0%
Total		52.3%	47.7%	100.0%

Phone type * Drunk-driving messages awareness Crosstabulation

Thone type Brank-arrying messages awareness crosstabalation								
		Aware						
		Yes	Yes No					
Phone type	Land	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%				
	Cell	51.8%	48.2%	100.0%				
Total		52.2% 47.8%		100.0%				

Gender * Drunk-driving messages awareness Crosstabulation

Genuel	Diulik-ui	ivilig illessages a	abulation	
		Aware		
		Yes	Total	
Gender	Male	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
	Female	52.3%	47.7%	100.0%
Total		52.3%	47.7%	100.0%

Income * Drunk-driving messages awareness Crosstabulation

moonie Erann anning moodagee anarenees ereestabananen								
i		Aware						
		Yes	No	Total				
Income	Under \$40,000	49.7%	50.3%	100.0%				
	\$40,000-80,000	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%				
	Over \$80,000	54.2%	45.8%	100.0%				
Total		52.2%	47.8%	100.0%				



			Percentage Dist Dist Di		
		State	Dist Three		
	Seat Belts				
17.	How often do you use safety belts when you drive or ride in a car, van, sport utility vehicle or pickup? (Core Q. B-1)				
	Always	78	82	89	65
	Nearly always	12	11	6	19
	Sometimes	4	3	3	7
	Seldom	3	2	2	6
	Never	2	2	1	3



Age * Safety belt use frequency Crosstabulation

		Always	Always Nearly always Sometimes		Seldom	Never	Total	
Age	16-20	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%			100.0%	
	21-34	80.0%	12.8%	3.2%	4.0%		100.0%	
	35-49	76.3%	13.0%	5.3%	3.6%	1.8%	100.0%	
	50-64	77.7%	12.6%	4.5%	2.4%	2.8%	100.0%	
	65 up	83.0%	7.4%	2.8%	3.4%	3.4%	100.0%	
Total		78.4%	11.9%	4.3%	3.2%	2.1%	100.0%	

District * Safety belt use frequency Crosstabulation

	Safety belt use frequency							
Always		Always	Nearly always	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	Total	
District	One	81.9%	10.9%	3.0%	2.3%	2.0%	100.0%	
	Two	89.0%	5.5%	2.7%	1.7%	1.0%	100.0%	
	Three	64.8%	19.1%	7.2%	5.6%	3.3%	100.0%	
Total		78.4%	11.9%	4.3%	3.2%	2.1%	100.0%	

Phone type * Safety belt use frequency Crosstabulation

Filotile type Salety belt use frequency crosstabulation											
Safety belt use frequency											
		Always	Nearly always	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	Total				
Phone type	Land	78.7%	12.0%	4.1%	2.8%	2.4%	100.0%				
	Cell	78.1%	11.7%	4.7%	3.9%	1.7%	100.0%				
Total		78.4% 11.9% 4.4% 3.2% 2.1%									

Gender * Safety belt use frequency Crosstabulation

		Safety belt use frequency					
		Always	Nearly always	Sometimes	Seldom	Never	Total
Gender	Male	73.8%	14.5%	4.8%	4.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	Female	82.8%	9.5%	3.9%	2.2%	1.7%	100.0%
Total		78.4%	11.9%	4.3%	3.2%	2.1%	100.0%

Income * Safety belt use frequency Crosstabulation

			Safety belt use frequency				
		Always	Always Nearly always Sometimes Seldom Never				Total
Income	Under \$40,000	68.7%	14.0%	5.0%	6.1%	6.1%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	75.3%	13.8%	5.6%	4.6%	0.7%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	86.3%	8.3%	3.4%	0.9%	1.1%	100.0%
Total		78.5%	11.5%	4.6%	3.4%	2.0%	100.0%



			Percentage				
			Dist Dist D				
		State	One	Two	Three		
18.	Should law enforcement officers be allowed to stop a vehicle and write a ticket if the driver and passengers are not wearing a seat belt?						
	Yes	57	59	62	51		
	No	41	40	35	47		
	Don't know	2	2	3	1		



Age * Ticket solely for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

	·	Ticket so	lely for non-se	eat belt use		
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total	
Age	16-20	92.3%	7.7%		100.0%	
	21-34	56.8%	43.2%		100.0%	
	35-49	54.7%	43.2%	2.1%	100.0%	
	50-64	57.5%	40.5%	2.0%	100.0%	
	65 up	60.2%	36.4%	3.4%	100.0%	
Total		57.4%	40.6%	2.0%	100.0%	

District * Ticket solely for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

		Ticket so	lely for non-se	eat belt use	
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
District	One	58.6%	39.5%	2.0%	100.0%
	Two	62.3%	34.9%	2.7%	100.0%
	Three	51.3%	47.4%	1.3%	100.0%
Total		57.3%	40.7%	2.0%	100.0%

Phone type * Ticket solely for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

		Ticket so	Ticket solely for non-seat belt use			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total	
Phone type	Land	56.9%	40.1%	3.0%	100.0%	
	Cell	58.1%	41.4%	0.6%	100.0%	
Total		57.4%	40.6%	2.0%	100.0%	

Gender * Ticket solely for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

		Ticket so	lely for non-se	eat belt use	
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Gender	Male	49.4%	49.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	Female	64.7%	32.9%	2.4%	100.0%
Total		57.3%	40.7%	2.0%	100.0%

Income * Ticket solely for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

		Ticket so			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	51.4%	45.8%	2.8%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	56.3%	41.4%	2.3%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	60.7%	38.5%	0.9%	100.0%
Total		57.1%	41.1%	1.8%	100.0%



		Percentage			
			Dist	Dist	t Dist
		State	One	Two	Three
19.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you				
	don't wear your safety belt? (Core Q. B-3)				
	Very likely	7	6	5	11
	Somewhat likely	21	20	21	22
	Likely	12	12	10	15
	Unlikely	36	40	35	32
	Very unlikely	24	22	30	20



Age * Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

Age chances of the Not for her cour both acc cross-tabulation										
	į		Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use							
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Total			
Age	16-20	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	100.0%			
	21-34	6.5%	18.7%	10.6%	43.1%	21.1%	100.0%			
	35-49	8.6%	19.6%	14.9%	36.3%	20.5%	100.0%			
	50-64	4.9%	21.6%	11.4%	35.9%	26.1%	100.0%			
	65 up	8.0%	23.6%	10.3%	29.9%	28.2%	100.0%			
Total		7.2%	21.0%	12.3%	35.7%	23.8%	100.0%			

District * Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

			Chances of ticke	t for non-sea	t belt use		
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Total
District	One	6.4%	19.7%	12.0%	39.8%	22.1%	100.0%
	Two	4.5%	20.7%	9.7%	34.8%	30.3%	100.0%
	Three	10.6%	22.4%	15.2%	32.3%	19.5%	100.0%
Total		7.2%	21.0%	12.3%	35.7%	23.9%	100.0%

Phone type * Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

Thone type Chances of the Ret for Hon-seat belt use Grosstabalation									
			Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use						
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Total		
Phone type	Land	5.1%	22.3%	12.9%	34.5%	25.2%	100.0%		
	Cell	10.1%	19.3%	11.7%	37.7%	21.2%	100.0%		
Total		7.1%	21.1%	12.4%	35.8%	23.6%	100.0%		

Gender * Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

	Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use						
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Total
Gender	Male	6.9%	20.3%	11.3%	33.9%	27.5%	100.0%
	Female	7.4%	21.6%	13.3%	37.3%	20.5%	100.0%
Total		7.2%	21.0%	12.3%	35.7%	23.9%	100.0%

Income * Chances of ticket for non-seat belt use Crosstabulation

			Chances of tick	et for non-seat	belt use		
		Very likely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	8.4%	25.3%	15.2%	34.8%	16.3%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	7.9%	23.8%	13.2%	32.5%	22.5%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	6.3%	16.6%	10.0%	39.0%	28.1%	100.0%
Total		7.4%	21.1%	12.3%	35.7%	23.5%	100.0%



		Percentage			
		Dist Dist		Dist	
		State	One	Two	Three
20.	What amount of fine do you think would get non-seat-belt-users to use their seat belt?				
	\$25-50	30	29	28	33
	\$51-100	26	25	25	27
	\$101-150	15	18	15	13
	\$151-200	6	6	8	5
	Over \$200	23	22	25	22



Age * Effective fine for nonseat belt use Crosstabulation

			Effective fine for nonseat belt use					
		\$25-\$50	\$51-\$100	\$101-\$150	\$151-\$200	Over \$200	Total	
Age	16-20	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	100.0%	
	21-34	26.1%	28.7%	20.0%	5.2%	20.0%	100.0%	
	35-49	27.8%	24.0%	18.6%	6.0%	23.7%	100.0%	
	50-64	30.9%	26.5%	10.9%	3.9%	27.8%	100.0%	
	65 up	36.3%	23.8%	11.9%	9.4%	18.8%	100.0%	
Total		30.1%	25.6%	15.2%	6.0%	23.1%	100.0%	

District * Effective fine for nonseat belt use Crosstabulation

	Effective fine for nonseat belt use						
		\$25-\$50	\$51-\$100	\$101-\$150	\$151-\$200	Over \$200	Total
District	One	29.0%	25.2%	17.9%	5.5%	22.4%	100.0%
	Two	27.9%	24.5%	15.1%	7.5%	24.9%	100.0%
	Three	33.2%	27.1%	12.5%	5.0%	22.1%	100.0%
Total		30.1%	25.6%	15.2%	6.0%	23.1%	100.0%

Phone type * Effective fine for nonseat belt use Crosstabulation

There type Endeute fine for hondeat bott add droottaballation							
			Effective fine for nonseat belt use				
		\$25-\$50	\$51-\$100	\$101-\$150	\$151-\$200	Over \$200	Total
Phone type	Land	32.4%	25.1%	13.6%	5.7%	23.3%	100.0%
	Cell	26.7%	26.1%	17.8%	6.5%	22.8%	100.0%
Total		30.1%	25.5%	15.3%	6.0%	23.1%	100.0%

Gender * Effective fine for nonseat belt use Crosstabulation

			Effective fine for nonseat belt use				
		\$25-\$50	\$51-\$100	\$101-\$150	\$151-\$200	Over \$200	Total
Gender	Male	31.7%	24.9%	13.7%	5.0%	24.7%	100.0%
	Female	28.6%	26.3%	16.6%	6.9%	21.7%	100.0%
Total		30.1%	25.6%	15.2%	6.0%	23.1%	100.0%

Income * Effective fine for nonseat belt use Crosstabulation

	Effective fine for nonseat belt use						
		\$25-\$50	\$51-\$100	\$101-\$150	\$151-\$200	Over \$200	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	46.3%	22.6%	9.8%	4.3%	17.1%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	32.0%	29.9%	13.4%	5.3%	19.4%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	19.7%	22.5%	20.0%	7.7%	30.2%	100.0%
Total		29.9%	25.2%	15.4%	6.1%	23.4%	100.0%



		State	Perce Dist One	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
21.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about seat belt enforcement? (Core Q. B-2)				
	Yes	28	31	23	30
	No	72	69	77	70



Age * Seat belt enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

		Seat belt enforce		
		Yes No		Total
Age	16-20	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%
	21-34	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
	35-49	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%
	50-64	24.9%	75.1%	100.0%
	65 up	25.7%	74.3%	100.0%
Total		28.0%	72.0%	100.0%

District * Seat belt enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

		Seat belt enforce			
	Yes		No	Total	
District	One	30.7%	69.3%	100.0%	
	Two	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%	
	Three	30.4%	69.6%	100.0%	
Total		28.0%	72.0%	100.0%	

Phone type * Seat belt enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

i none type Cour Boil official awareness sissed and all and							
	,	Seat belt enforce					
		Yes	No	Total			
Phone type	Land	24.5%	75.5%	100.0%			
	Cell	33.1%	66.9%	100.0%			
Total		27.9%	72.1%	100.0%			

Gender * Seat belt enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

Gender Seat beit emorcement awareness crosstabulation					
		Seat belt enforcement awareness			
		Yes	No	Total	
Gender	Male	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%	
	Female	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%	
Total		28.0%	72.0%	100.0%	

Income * Seat belt enforcement awareness Crosstabulation

		Seat belt enforce	Seat belt enforcement awareness			
		Yes	No	Total		
Income	Under \$40,000	24.7%	75.3%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	30.5%	69.5%	100.0%		
Total		28.4%	71.6%	100.0%		



		State	Dist	ntage Dist Two	Dist Three
22.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard any				
	Click It Or Ticket seat belt messages?				
	Yes	44	50	37	44
	No	56	50	63	56



Age * 'Click It Or Ticket' message awareness Crosstabulation

		'Click It Or Ticl		
		Yes	No	Total
Age	16-20	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
	21-34	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
	35-49	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
	50-64	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%
	65 up	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
Total		44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

District * 'Click It Or Ticket' message awareness Crosstabulation

· ·						
		'Click It Or Ticl				
		Yes	No	Total		
District	One	50.2%	49.8%	100.0%		
	Two	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%		
	Three	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%		
Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%		

Phone type * 'Click It Or Ticket' awareness Crosstabulation

Thomas year and the control an								
	,	'Click It Or Ticl						
		Yes	No	Total				
Phone type	Land	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%				
	Cell	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%				
Total		44.0%	56.0%	100.0%				

Gender * 'Click It Or Ticket' message awareness Crosstabulation

Gender	Click it Of	Ticket message	icket illessage awareness crosstabula					
		'Click It Or Tick						
		Yes	No	Total				
Gender	Male	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%				
	Female	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%				
Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%				

Income * 'Click It Or Ticket' message awareness Crosstabulation

		'Click It Or Ticket' awareness					
		Yes	No	Total			
Income	Under \$40,000	38.4%	61.6%	100.0%			
	\$40,000-80,000	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%			
	Over \$80,000	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%			
Total		44.2%	55.8%	100.0%			



			Percentage		
			Dist	Dist	Dist
		State	One	Two	Three
23.	(IF YES) Do you remember where that was?				
	TV	37	42	37	30
	Radio	15	13	7	24
	All others (primarily billboards and road signs)	37	34	40	36
	Don't know	12	11	16	10



Age * Where Crosstabulation

			Where					
		Others	TV	Radio	Don't know	Total		
Age	16-20	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%		
	21-34	43.1%	20.0%	21.5%	15.4%	100.0%		
	35-49	39.0%	39.0%	15.4%	6.6%	100.0%		
	50-64	35.1%	39.5%	14.0%	11.4%	100.0%		
	65 up	24.6%	46.4%	10.1%	18.8%	100.0%		
Total		36.5%	36.7%	15.1%	11.7%	100.0%		

District * Where Crosstabulation

			Where			
		Others	TV	Radio	Don't know	Total
District	One	34.2%	42.1%	13.2%	10.5%	100.0%
	Two	40.2%	37.4%	6.5%	15.9%	100.0%
	Three	36.1%	30.1%	24.1%	9.8%	100.0%
Total		36.5%	36.7%	15.1%	11.7%	100.0%

Phone type * Where Crosstabulation

Filone type Where Crosstabulation							
			Where				
		Others	TV	Radio	Don't know	Total	
Phone type	Land	33.9%	38.8%	13.4%	13.8%	100.0%	
	Cell	40.4%	33.1%	17.5%	9.0%	100.0%	
Total		36.7%	36.4%	15.1%	11.8%	100.0%	

Gender * Where Crosstabulation

			Where			
		Others	TV	Radio	Don't know	Total
Gender	Male	33.2%	36.0%	18.0%	12.8%	100.0%
	Female	40.3%	37.6%	11.6%	10.5%	100.0%
Total		36.5%	36.7%	15.1%	11.7%	100.0%

Income * Where Crosstabulation

			Where				
		Others	TV	Radio	Don't know	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	35.3%	41.2%	11.8%	11.8%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	32.4%	39.7%	19.9%	8.1%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	41.4%	30.9%	14.8%	13.0%	100.0%	
Total		36.9%	36.1%	16.1%	10.9%	100.0%	



			Percentage		
		State	Dist	Dist	Dist
		N=900	One	Two	Three
	Speed				
24.	On a local road with a speed limit of 30 mph, how often do you drive faster than 35 mph: (Core Q. S-1a)				
	Most of the time	13	11	15	13
	Half the time	17	18	23	11
	Rarely	51	53	48	53
	Never	19	18	15	24



Age * Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone Crosstabulation

		Spee	Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone			
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total
Age	16-20	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%	15.4%	100.0%
	21-34	19.2%	20.0%	46.4%	14.4%	100.0%
	35-49	12.6%	18.0%	54.2%	15.3%	100.0%
	50-64	9.3%	17.1%	48.4%	25.2%	100.0%
	65 up	12.0%	13.1%	53.7%	21.1%	100.0%
Т	otal	12.7%	17.1%	51.2%	19.0%	100.0%

District * Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone Crosstabulation

	Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone					
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total
District	One	10.6%	18.2%	53.1%	18.2%	100.0%
	Two	14.9%	22.9%	47.6%	14.6%	100.0%
	Three	12.5%	10.6%	52.5%	24.4%	100.0%
Total		12.6%	17.1%	51.1%	19.1%	100.0%

Phone type * Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone Crosstabulation

Filone type Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone crosstabiliation							
		Spee	Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone				
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total	
Phone type	Land	12.2%	17.3%	48.9%	21.6%	100.0%	
	Cell	13.4%	17.0%	54.0%	15.6%	100.0%	
Total		12.7%	17.2%	51.0%	19.2%	100.0%	

Gender * Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone Crosstabulation

		Speed	Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone				
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total	
Gender	Male	11.1%	17.8%	52.5%	18.5%	100.0%	
	Female	14.1%	16.5%	49.8%	19.7%	100.0%	
Total		12.6%	17.1%	51.1%	19.1%	100.0%	

Income * Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone Crosstabulation

meeting in equation, in the improvement in the interest of the						
	Speeding frequency in 30 mph zone					i
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	14.0%	15.1%	49.2%	21.8%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	12.5%	16.8%	48.2%	22.4%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	12.9%	19.8%	53.9%	13.5%	100.0%
	Total	13.0%	17.7%	50.8%	18.5%	100.0%



			Dist	Dist	Dist
		State	One	Two	Three
25.	On a local road with a speed limit of 65 mph, how often				
	do you drive faster than 70 mph: (Core Q. S-1b)				
	Most of the time	5	3	6	7
	Half the time	8	8	11	6
	Rarely	49	54	50	44
	Never	37	36	33	43



Age * Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone Crosstabulation

		Speed	Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone				
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total	
Age	16-20	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%	100.0%	
	21-34	8.0%	12.8%	50.4%	28.8%	100.0%	
	35-49	7.4%	7.7%	52.2%	32.6%	100.0%	
	50-64	1.6%	9.7%	47.4%	41.3%	100.0%	
	65 up	4.0%	4.0%	46.0%	46.0%	100.0%	
Т	otal	5.2%	8.4%	49.2%	37.2%	100.0%	

District * Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone Crosstabulation

i.		Speed	Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone				
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total	
District	One	3.3%	7.6%	53.6%	35.5%	100.0%	
	Two	5.8%	11.3%	50.2%	32.6%	100.0%	
	Three	6.6%	6.3%	44.1%	43.1%	100.0%	
Total		5.2%	8.3%	49.3%	37.2%	100.0%	

Phone type * Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone Crosstabulation

Filone type—Speeding frequency in 63 hiph zone Crosstabulation							
		Spee	Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone				
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total	
Phone type	Land	3.7%	7.9%	47.4%	41.0%	100.0%	
	Cell	7.5%	9.2%	51.9%	31.4%	100.0%	
Total		5.3%	8.4%	49.2%	37.1%	100.0%	

Gender * Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone Crosstabulation

Gender Speeding frequency in 65 hiph zone crosstabiliation						
		Spee	Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone			
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total
Gender	Male	6.2%	9.2%	50.2%	34.3%	100.0%
	Female	4.3%	7.5%	48.4%	39.8%	100.0%
Total		5.2%	8.3%	49.3%	37.2%	100.0%

Income * Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone Crosstabulation

income "Speeding frequency in 65 mpn zone Crosstabulation								
	Speeding frequency in 65 mph zone							
		Most of the time	Half the time	Rarely	Never	Total		
Income	Under \$40,000	3.4%	5.6%	45.8%	45.3%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	5.3%	8.6%	46.7%	39.5%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	7.1%	10.5%	53.3%	29.1%	100.0%		
	Total	5.6%	8.8%	49.3%	36.3%	100.0%		



		Percentage		
		Dist	Dist	Dist
	State	One	Two	Three
In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2)				
Yes	29	31	28	28
No	71	69	72	72
	Yes	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2) Yes 29	Dist State One In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2) Yes 29 31	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2) Yes Dist One Two Two 29 31 28



Age * Awareness of speeding enforcement Crosstabulation

		Speeding enforce		
		Yes	Total	
Age	16-20	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
	21-34	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%
	35-49	25.7%	74.3%	100.0%
	50-64	31.4%	68.6%	100.0%
	65 up	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%
Т	otal	29.1%	70.9%	100.0%

District * Awareness of speeding enforcement Crosstabulation

Biotilot Awaronood of Spectaling Children on Contabalation						
		Speeding enforce	ement awareness			
		Yes	Total			
District	One	31.3% 68.7%		100.0%		
n:	Two	27.9%	72.1%	100.0%		
	Three	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%		
Total		29.1%	70.9%	100.0%		

Phone type * Awareness of speeding enforcement Crosstabulation

i none type	Awarenc	33 or speculing ci	tabalation	
		Speeding enforce		
		Yes	No	Total
Phone type	Land	30.2%	69.8%	100.0%
	Cell	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
Total		29.1%	70.9%	100.0%

Gender * Awareness of speeding enforcement Crosstabulation

Gender	abulation			
		Speeding enforce		
		Yes	Total	
Gender	Male	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%
	Female	27.1%	72.9%	100.0%
Total		29.1%	70.9%	100.0%

Income * Awareness of speeding enforcement Crosstabulation

		Speeding enforce		
		Yes	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	33.1%	66.9%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	25.7%	74.3%	100.0%
	Total	29.7%	70.3%	100.0%



			Percentage			
			Dist	Dist	Dist	
		State	One	Two	Three	
27.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket					
	if you drive over the speed limit? (Core Q. S-3)					
	Very likely	15	12	12	21	
	Somewhat likely	38	39	36	37	
	Likely	24	26	22	25	
	Unlikely	18	18	23	13	
	Very unlikely	6	6	8	4	



Age * Chances of speeding ticket Crosstabulation

		7.90		mg womer c						
	į		Chances of speeding ticket							
		Very likely	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely							
Age	16-20	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%		100.0%			
	21-34	18.5%	41.1%	24.2%	13.7%	2.4%	100.0%			
	35-49	14.6%	38.2%	25.1%	17.3%	4.8%	100.0%			
	50-64	12.7%	37.6%	22.4%	19.6%	7.8%	100.0%			
	65 up	15.6%	34.1%	24.3%	17.9%	8.1%	100.0%			
Total		15.1%	37.5%	24.0%	17.5%	5.8%	100.0%			

District * Chances of speeding ticket Crosstabulation

			Chances of speeding ticket								
		Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely									
District	One	12.3%	39.1%	25.5%	17.5%	5.6%	100.0%				
	Two	12.1%	36.3%	21.5%	22.5%	7.6%	100.0%				
	Three	20.7%	37.0%	25.0%	13.0%	4.3%	100.0%				
Total		15.0%	37.5%	24.0%	17.6%	5.8%	100.0%				

Phone type * Chances of speeding ticket Crosstabulation

			Chances of speeding ticket						
	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely								
Phone type	Land	14.0%	36.1%	24.9%	18.0%	7.0%	100.0%		
	Cell	16.4%	39.8%	22.6%	17.0%	4.2%	100.0%		
Total		15.0%	37.6%	23.9%	17.6%	5.9%	100.0%		

Gender * Chances of speeding ticket Crosstabulation

			Chances of speeding ticket						
	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely					Total			
Gender	Male	14.0%	34.0%	20.9%	22.1%	9.1%	100.0%		
	Female	16.1%	40.8%	26.9%	13.4%	2.8%	100.0%		
Total		15.0%	37.5%	24.0%	17.6%	5.8%	100.0%		

Income * Chances of speeding ticket Crosstabulation

The state of the s									
			Chances of speeding ticket						
	Very likely Somewhat likely Likely Unlikely Very unlikely								
Income	Under \$40,000	20.9%	37.3%	25.4%	13.6%	2.8%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	18.5%	36.6%	24.1%	12.5%	8.3%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	9.8%	37.9%	23.4%	23.4%	5.5%	100.0%		
Total		15.4%	37.3%	24.1%	17.3%	5.9%	100.0%		



			Percentage		
			Dist	Dist	Dist
		State	One	Two	Three
	Distracted Driving				
28.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement				
	to stop a driver and ticket them solely for talking on a cell phone				
	while driving?				
	Support	58	56	60	57
	Oppose	41	41	38	43
	Don't know	2	3	1	1



Age * Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving Crosstabulation

		Ticket for talk	10		
		Support Oppose Don't know		Total	
Age	16-20	69.2%	30.8%		100.0%
	21-34	38.4%	61.6%	Ti.	100.0%
	35-49	49.4%	49.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	50-64	62.3%	35.6%	2.0%	100.0%
	65 up	79.5%	17.6%	2.8%	100.0%
Total		57.6%	40.8%	1.6%	100.0%

District * Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving Crosstabulation

u i					
		Ticket for talk			
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total
District	One	55.9%	41.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	Two	60.3%	38.4%	1.4%	100.0%
	Three	56.6%	42.8%	0.7%	100.0%
Total		57.6%	40.9%	1.6%	100.0%

Phone type * Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving Crosstabulation

Thome type Treket for talking on ear phone while arrying crosstabalation							
Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving							
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total		
Phone type	Land	63.7%	34.5%	1.9%	100.0%		
	Cell	48.3%	50.6%	1.1%	100.0%		
Total		57.5%	40.9%	1.6%	100.0%		

Gender * Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving Crosstabulation

Gender Ticket for talking on cen phone while driving crosstabulation							
		Ticket for talk					
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total		
Gender	Male	57.0%	42.1%	0.9%	100.0%		
	Female	58.1%	39.8%	2.2%	100.0%		
Total		57.6%	40.9%	1.6%	100.0%		

Income * Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving Crosstabulation

	The state of the s							
		Ticket for talk	Ticket for talking on cell phone while driving					
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total			
Income	Under \$40,000	59.8%	38.5%	1.7%	100.0%			
	\$40,000-80,000	63.8%	35.5%	0.7%	100.0%			
	Over \$80,000	51.0%	47.0%	2.0%	100.0%			
Total		57.6%	41.0%	1.4%	100.0%			



			Perce		
			Dist	Dist	Dist
		State	One	Two	Three
29.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement				
	to stop a driver and ticket them solely for texting while driving?				
	Support	89	90	87	90
	Oppose	11	10	13	10



Age * Ticket solely for texting while driving Crosstabulation

a a		Ticket solely for texting while driving				
		Support	Support Oppose Don't know		Total	
Age	16-20	92.3%	7.7%		100.0%	
	21-34	81.6%	18.4%		100.0%	
	35-49	86.1%	13.9%		100.0%	
	50-64	92.7%	6.1%	1.2%	100.0%	
	65 up	92.6%	7.4%		100.0%	
Total		88.7%	11.0%	0.3%	100.0%	

District * Ticket solely for texting while driving Crosstabulation

		Ticket sole			
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total
District	One	89.1%	9.9%	1.0%	100.0%
	Two	86.6%	13.4%		100.0%
	Three	89.8%	10.2%		100.0%
Total		88.6%	11.1%	0.3%	100.0%

Phone type * Ticket solely for texting while driving Crosstabulation

		Ticket sol			
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Phone type	Land	90.4%	9.2%	0.4%	100.0%
	Cell	86.1%	13.6%	0.3%	100.0%
Total		88.7%	11.0%	0.3%	100.0%

Gender * Ticket solely for texting while driving Crosstabulation

		Ticket solely for texting while driving			
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total
Gender	Male	86.7%	13.1%	0.2%	100.0%
	Female	90.3%	9.2%	0.4%	100.0%
Total		88.6%	11.1%	0.3%	100.0%

Income * Ticket solely for texting while driving Crosstabulation

mediae Tieket Solely for texting wine driving Grosstabalation								
		Ticket sol						
		Support	Oppose	Don't know	Total			
Income	Under \$40,000	87.7%	11.7%	0.6%	100.0%			
	\$40,000-80,000	90.8%	8.9%	0.3%	100.0%			
	Over \$80,000	88.3%	11.4%	0.3%	100.0%			
Total		89.1%	10.6%	0.4%	100.0%			



		Percentage Dist Dist			Dist
	Miscellaneous	State	One	Two	Three
30.	Should the Nebraska law requiring motorcycle helmets				
	be repealed or continued?				
	Continue	73	76	81	62
	Repeal	23	20	14	35
	Don't know	4	4	5	4



Age * Motorcycle helmet law Crosstabulation

i		Мо	Motorcycle helmet law			
		Repeal	Continue	Don't know	Total	
Age	16-20	15.4%	84.6%		100.0%	
	21-34	18.4%	79.2%	2.4%	100.0%	
	35-49	29.0%	66.3%	4.7%	100.0%	
	50-64	25.1%	71.3%	3.6%	100.0%	
	65 up	14.2%	81.3%	4.5%	100.0%	
Total		23.4%	72.6%	4.0%	100.0%	

District * Motorcycle helmet law Crosstabulation

		Мо	torcycle helm	et law	
		Repeal	Continue	Don't know	Total
District	One	20.4%	75.7%	3.9%	100.0%
	Two	14.4%	80.8%	4.8%	100.0%
	Three	34.9%	61.5%	3.6%	100.0%
Total		23.3%	72.6%	4.1%	100.0%

Phone type * Motorcycle helmet law Crosstabulation

FIII	'11				
		Мо			
		Repeal	Continue	Don't know	Total
Phone type	Land	21.0%	74.5%	4.5%	100.0%
	Cell	26.7%	70.0%	3.3%	100.0%
Total		23.3%	72.7%	4.0%	100.0%

Gender * Motorcycle helmet law Crosstabulation

		Мо	Motorcycle helmet law			
		Repeal Continue Don't know				
Gender	Male	36.8%	58.6%	4.6%	100.0%	
	Female	10.8%	85.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
Total		23.3%	72.6%	4.1%	100.0%	

Income * Motorcycle helmet law Crosstabulation

		Мо	Motorcycle helmet law				
		Repeal	Continue	Don't know	Total		
Income	Under \$40,000	21.8%	70.9%	7.3%	100.0%		
	\$40,000-80,000	21.7%	76.0%	2.3%	100.0%		
	Over \$80,000	25.6%	70.7%	3.7%	100.0%		
Total		23.4%	72.7%	4.0%	100.0%		



		State	Perce Dist One	entage Dist Two	Dist Three
	Demographics				
31.	Which of these age groups are you in:				
01.	16-20	1	1	1	2
	21-34	14	12	13	16
	35-49	38	40	30	43
	50-64	28	25	33	25
	65 up	20	23	23	14
32.	Do you have a driver's license?				
	Yes	99	99	99	99
	No	1	1	1	1
33.	Which of these annual household income groups are you in?				
55.	Under \$40,000	22	20	22	23
	\$40,000-80,000	37	34	35	40
	Over \$80,000	42	46	44	37
34.	Congressional District				
51.	One	34	100		
	Two	32	100	100	
	Three	34			100
35.	Phone Type				
33.	Land line	60	59	66	54
	Cell phone	40	41	34	46
	•				
36.	Gender	40	4.6	40	7.1
	Male	48	46	48	51
	Female	52	54	52	49

##



District * Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
		16-20	21-34	35-49	50-64	65 up	Total
District	One	0.7%	11.8%	39.5%	25.3%	22.7%	100.0%
	Two	1.4%	13.4%	29.9%	32.6%	22.7%	100.0%
	Three	2.3%	16.4%	43.1%	24.7%	13.5%	100.0%
Tota	al	1.4%	13.9%	37.6%	27.5%	19.6%	100.0%

Phone type * Age Crosstabulation

. Home type in the containment and the contain								
			Age					
		16-20	21-34	35-49	50-64	65 up	Total	
Phone type	Land	1.3%	3.2%	23.1%	40.9%	31.5%	100.0%	
	Cell	1.7%	29.7%	58.6%	7.8%	2.2%	100.0%	
Total		1.5%	13.9%	37.4%	27.5%	19.7%	100.0%	

Gender * Age Crosstabulation

			Age						
		16-20	21-34	35-49	50-64	65 up	Total		
Gender	Male	1.4%	12.9%	41.7%	26.0%	18.0%	100.0%		
	Female	1.5%	14.8%	33.8%	28.8%	21.1%	100.0%		
То	tal	1.4%	13.9%	37.6%	27.5%	19.6%	100.0%		

Income * Age Crosstabulation

			Age					
		16-20	21-34	35-49	50-64	65 up	Total	
Income	Under \$40,000	1.7%	21.3%	27.5%	24.7%	24.7%	100.0%	
	\$40,000-80,000	2.0%	16.1%	35.2%	27.0%	19.7%	100.0%	
	Over \$80,000	0.6%	10.3%	47.6%	27.9%	13.7%	100.0%	
	Total	1.3%	14.8%	38.8%	26.9%	18.2%	100.0%	



Age * Have driver's license Crosstabulation

		Have drive	er's license	1
		Yes	No	Total
Age	16-20	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
	21-34	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%
	35-49	99.1%	0.9%	100.0%
	50-64	99.2%	0.8%	100.0%
	65 up	98.9%	1.1%	100.0%
Total		98.9%	1.1%	100.0%

District * Have driver's license Crosstabulation

		Have drive	Have driver's license		
		Yes	No	Total	
District	One	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%	
	Two	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%	
	Three	99.3%	0.7%	100.0%	
Total		98.9%	1.1%	100.0%	

Phone type * Have driver's license Crosstabulation

	,	Have driver's license		
		Yes	No	Total
Phone type	Land	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%
	Cell	99.2%	0.8%	100.0%
Total		98.9%	1.1%	100.0%

Gender * Have driver's license Crosstabulation

		Have driver's license		
		Yes	No	Total
Gender	Male	99.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	Female	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Total		98.9%	1.1%	100.0%

Income * Have driver's license Crosstabulation

		Have drive	er's license	
		Yes	No	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	97.2%	2.8%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	99.0%	1.0%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	99.4%	0.6%	100.0%
Total		98.8%	1.2%	100.0%



Age * Income Crosstabulation

		Under \$40,000	\$40,000-80,000	Over \$80,000	Total
Age	16-20	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	100.0%
	21-34	30.9%	39.8%	29.3%	100.0%
	35-49	15.2%	33.1%	51.7%	100.0%
	50-64	19.6%	36.6%	43.8%	100.0%
	65 up	28.9%	39.5%	31.6%	100.0%
Т	otal	21.4%	36.5%	42.1%	100.0%

District * Income Crosstabulation

Diotriot income Groodtabalation						
			Income			
		Under \$40,000	\$40,000-80,000	Over \$80,000	Total	
District	One	19.6%	34.3%	46.1%	100.0%	
	Two	21.6%	34.6%	43.9%	100.0%	
	Three	23.2%	40.4%	36.5%	100.0%	
Tot	al	21.5%	36.5%	42.1%	100.0%	

Phone type * Income Crosstabulation

Thome type income crocotabalation					
		Income			
		Under \$40,000	\$40,000-80,000	Over \$80,000	Total
Phone type	Land	20.4%	36.2%	43.5%	100.0%
	Cell	23.1%	37.1%	39.7%	100.0%
Total		21.5% 36.6% 41.9%		100.0%	

Gender * Income Crosstabulation

			Income			
		Under \$40,000	\$40,000-80,000	Over \$80,000	Total	
Gender	Male	17.7%	36.0%	46.3%	100.0%	
	Female	25.0%	36.9%	38.1%	100.0%	
То	tal	21.5%	36.5%	42.1%	100.0%	



Age * District Crosstabulation

			District		
		One	Two	Three	Total
Age	16-20	15.4%	30.8%	53.8%	100.0%
	21-34	28.8%	31.2%	40.0%	100.0%
	35-49	35.5%	25.7%	38.8%	100.0%
	50-64	31.2%	38.5%	30.4%	100.0%
	65 up	39.2%	37.5%	23.3%	100.0%
Т	otal	33.8%	32.4%	33.8%	100.0%

Income * District Crosstabulation

i.			District		
		One	Two	Three	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	30.7%	32.4%	36.9%	100.0%
ı.	\$40,000-80,000	31.6%	30.6%	37.8%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	36.8%	33.6%	29.6%	100.0%
	Total	33.6%	32.3%	34.2%	100.0%

Phone type * District Crosstabulation

			District		
		One	Two	Three	Total
Phone type	Land	33.3%	36.0%	30.7%	100.0%
	Cell	34.7%	26.9%	38.3%	100.0%
Total		33.9%	32.3%	33.8%	100.0%

Gender * District Crosstabulation

		District			
		One	Two	Three	Total
Gender	Male	32.4%	32.2%	35.4%	100.0%
	Female	35.1%	32.7%	32.3%	100.0%
Total		33.8%	32.4%	33.8%	100.0%



Age * Phone type Crosstabulation

		Phone	e type	
		Land	Cell	Total
Age	16-20	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
	21-34	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
	35-49	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
	50-64	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%
	65 up	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Т	otal	59.7%	40.3%	100.0%

Income * Phone type Crosstabulation

		.,		
		Phone type		
		Land	Cell	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	57.2%	42.8%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	60.1%	39.9%	100.0%
	Total	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%

District * Phone type Crosstabulation

		Phone type		
		Land	Cell	Total
District	One	58.7%	41.3%	100.0%
	Two	66.4%	33.6%	100.0%
	Three	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%
Total		59.7%	40.3%	100.0%

Gender * Phone type Crosstabulation

		Phone type		
		Land	Cell	Total
Gender	Male	55.5%	44.5%	100.0%
	Female	63.7%	36.3%	100.0%
Total		59.7%	40.3%	100.0%



Age * Gender Crosstabulation

7.gc				
		Gender		
		Male	Female	Total
Age	16-20	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
	21-34	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
	35-49	53.6%	46.4%	100.0%
	50-64	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
	65 up	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
Total		48.3%	51.7%	100.0%

Income * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		
		Male	Female	Total
Income	Under \$40,000	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
	\$40,000-80,000	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
	Over \$80,000	53.6%	46.4%	100.0%
	Total	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%

District * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		
		Male	Female	Total
District	One	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
	Two	47.9%	52.1%	100.0%
	Three	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
Total		48.3%	51.7%	100.0%

Phone type * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		
		Male	Female	Total
Phone type	Land	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
	Cell	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
Total		48.2%	51.8%	100.0%



Nebraska Annual Traffic Safety Questionnaire, 2016

not s	calling for The Nebraska Poll. We're doing a statewide study on traffic safety. I'm elling anything, I'm only interested in your opinions, and I need to talk to someone 16 years of age or older in household. Is that you? Good. First, what do you think is the biggest problem in Nebraska today? (DO NOT READ) 1) Economy/drought/water/jobs/wages, etc. 2) Crime/drugs/gangs/vandalism, etc. 3) Taxes/spending/budget, education funding, etc. 5) Roads/streets/traffic, etc. 6) Distracted driving 7) Drunk drivers 8) Government, politicians, etc. 0) Others (specify) 9)DK/NR
On a	1-5 scale, with 5 as very important and 1 as not at all important, how would you rate the following problems in
	Nebraska:
2.	How important is the fuel tax situation?
3. 4.	How important is the problem of distraction caused by drivers using cell phones or other electronic devices? How important is the problem of driving while intoxicated or drunk driving?
5.	Do you think the Nebraska penalties for drunk driving are:(READ):
	1) Too tough 2) About right or 3) Not tough enough?
On a	scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very ineffective and 5 being very effective, please rate how effective each of the following is in preventing drunk driving. (READ/ROTATE 6-8)
6.	Mandatory offender education 9) DK
7.	Mandatory daily alcohol offender monitoring 9) DK
8.	Motor vehicle sobriety check points 9) DK
Wan	ld you favor or oppose each of the following penalties for drunk driving: (READ/ROTATE 9-11)
9.	Mandatory sentencing for drunk driving offenses 1) Favor 2) Oppose 9) Don't know
10.	Mandatory treatment for drunk driving offenders 1) Favor 2) Oppose 9) Don't know 2) Oppose 9) Don't know
11.	Mandatory interlock ignition for all first-time offenders 1) Favor 2) Oppose 9) Don't know
12.	In the last 60 days, have you ever driven while impaired by alcohol, but still under the legal limit? 1) Yes 2) No
13.	What do you think the chances are of someone getting arrested if they drive after drinking? (Core Q. A-3) (READ) 1) Very likely 2) Somewhat likely 3) Likely 4) Somewhat unlikely 5) Very unlikely
14.	In the past 60 days, how many times have you driven a motor vehicle within 2 hours after drinking alcoholic beverages? (Core Q. A-1) 1) Never 2) Once 3) 2-5 times 4) More than 5 times
15.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about alcohol impaired driving (or drunk driving) enforcement by police? (Core Q. A-2) 1) Yes 2) No
16.	In the past <u>60</u> days, have you read, seen or heard any drunk driving-related messages? 1) Yes 2) No
17.	How often do you use safety belts when you drive or ride in a car, van, sport utility vehicle or pickup? (Core Q. B-1)
	(READ) 1) Always 2) Nearly always 3) Sometimes 4) Seldom 5) Never



not wearing a seat belt?

18. Should law enforcement officers be allowed to stop a vehicle and write a ticket if the driver and passengers are

1) Yes 2) No 9) Don't know

19.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you don't wear your safety belt? (Core Q. B-3) (READ): 1) Very likely 2) Somewhat likely 3) Likely 4) Unlikely 5) Very unlikely
20.	What amount of fine do you think would get non-seat-belt-users to use their seat belt? (READ) 1) \$25-50 2) \$51-100 2) \$101-150 4) \$151-200 5) Over \$200
21.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about seat belt enforcement? (Core Q. B-2) 1) Yes 2) No
22.	In the past 60 days, have you read, seen or heard any Click It Or Ticket seat belt messages? 1) Yes 2) No
23.	(IF YES) Do you remember where that was? (OPEN ENDEDDO NOT READ) 1) TV 2 Radio 9) Don't know 0) All other (specify)
24.	On a local road with a speed limit of 30 mph, how often do you drive faster than 35 mph: (READ) 1) Most of the time 2) Half the time 3) Rarely 4) Never (Core Q. S-1a)
25.	On a local road with a speed limit of 65 mph, how often do you drive faster than 70 mph: (READ) 1) Most of the time 2) Half the time 3) Rarely 4) Never (Core Q. S-1b)
26.	In the past 30 days, have you read, seen or heard anything about speeding enforcement? (Core Q. S-2) 1) Yes 2) No
27.	What do you think the chances are of getting a ticket if you drive over the speed limit? (Core Q. S-3) (READ): 1) Very likely 2) Somewhat likely 3) Likely 4) Unlikely 5) Very unlikely
28.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them solely for talking on a cell phone while driving? 1) Support 2) Oppose 9) Don't know
29.	Would you support or oppose a law that allows law enforcement to stop a driver and ticket them solely for texting while driving? 1) Support 2) Oppose 9) Don't know
30.	Should the Nebraska law requiring motorcycle helmets be repealed or continued? 1) Repealed 2) Continued 9) Don't know
31.	Which of these age groups are you in: (READ): 1) 16-20 2) 21-34 3) 35-49 4) 50-64 5) 65 up 9) NR
32.	Do you have a driver's license? 1) Yes 2) No
33.	Which of these annual household income groups are you in? (READ): 1) Under \$40,000 2) \$40,000-80,000 3) Over \$80,000? NR
34.	(NOTE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT): 1) One 2) Two 3) Three
35.	Are you speaking from a land line or a cell phone? 1) Land line 2) Cell phone
36.	(NOTE GENDER): 1) Male 2) Female (quota: 48% males)
OK	that's it! Thanks very much for your time and help! NOHS/NSC ATS '16

