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## Executive Summary

Children up to six years of age were observed for child restraint system use as they were riding as a passenger in a vehicle. Safety seat/booster seat use was observed in both front and rear seats. Estimates of the age of the children were based on the observer's judgment.

Observations occurred at the same sites and on the same weekend days and times as in previous years.

Among the 926 children observed in 797 vehicles during August and September 2017, $97.0 \%$ were in child safety seat/booster seats. In 1999, when this series of surveys began, the rate was $56.2 \%$.

Of those observed in safety seat/booster seats, $94 \%$ were in the rear seat of the vehicles observed and $6 \%$ were in the front seat. In the rural counties $92.8 \%$ were in the rear seat, $7.2 \%$ in the front seat. In the urban counties $94.6 \%$ were in the rear seat and $5.4 \%$ in the front seat.

Of the children rural counties, $97.5 \%$ were in safety seat/booster seats; in urban counties, $96.7 \%$ were in safety seat/booster seats.

Of the small number of children not in safety seat/booster seats, $25 \%$ were in the front seats; $50 \%$ in rural counties and $15 \%$ in urban counties.

## Background

The survey described in this report was designed to provide an estimate of child safety seat/booster seat use in Nebraska.

Observations of child safety seat/booster seat use were conducted in the three urban counties identified in Nebraska's original 1998 annual safety belt survey and in four rural counties whose safety belt use ranked in the lower half of all counties surveyed in Nebraska's 1998 Safety Belt Survey. These were essentially the same sites observed in the annual Child Safety Seat Use reports from 1999 to 2010. Some sites were modified to capture more traveled intersections as traffic patterns changed.

Observations occurred for 40 minutes at 14 heavily trafficked intersections in the three urban counties, and twice each at two heavily traveled intersections in the four rural counties. The urban observations included five Douglas County sites, five Lancaster County sites, and four Sarpy County sites. The rural observations included two sites
in Adams, Gage, Jefferson and Phelps Counties, with observations occurring twice at each site (Appendix A).

The intersections were drawn from the intersections used in the state's original 1998 safety belt survey and were picked purposely from all sampled intersections to maximize the likelihood of child riders in the vehicles observed. Intersections close to shopping malls, for example, were selected over intersections in outlying areas.

To maximize the likelihood of child passengers, all observations were conducted on Saturdays, between the hours of 9:45 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., during August and September 2017. No observations were conducted on Interstate road segments.

Observations were conducted in the same manner as those in Nebraska's annual safety belt survey. Child safety seat/booster seat use was observed in both front and rear seats. Estimates of the age of the children were based on the observer's judgment. If a child was judged to be under age six and was buckled in a safety seat/booster seat in either the front or the rear seat, they were counted as a "safety seat user". If the child or children were not in either a child safety seat or a booster seat and were buckled into an adult shoulder belt system, they were counted as a "non-safety seat user".

No estimate could be made of the quality of the child safety seat/booster seats observed or how well they were installed or how well the child was secured in the seat.

## Results

Of the 797 vehicles observed $34.9 \%$ were in rural counties and $65.1 \%$ were in urban counties. Of the 926 children observed $34 \%$ were in urban counties and $66 \%$ were in rural counties (Table 1).

Of the 926 children $97 \%$ were observed in child safety seat/booster seats. Of those in safety seat/booster seats, $94 \%$ were in the rear seats of the vehicles observed and $6 \%$ were in the front seats.

Of the $3 \%$ of children who were not in safety seat/booster seats, $75 \%$ were traveling in the rear seats and $25 \%$ were traveling in the front seats.

## Rural-Urban Differences

Sixty-six percent ( $66.0 \%$ ) of all safety seat/booster seat observations were made in the three urban counties (Douglas, Lancaster, and Sarpy) and 34\% were made in the four rural counties (Adams, Gage, Jefferson, and Phelps Counties).

Of children observed in urban counties, $96.7 \%$ were in child safety seat/booster seats. Of the urban children in child safety seat/booster seats, $94.6 \%$ were in the rear seats and $5.4 \%$ were in the front seats.

Of the children observed in the four rural counties, $97.5 \%$ were in child safety seat/booster seats, $92.8 \%$ in the rear seats and $7.2 \%$ were in the front seats of the vehicles observed.

Of the children not in safety seat/booster seats in the urban counties, $85 \%$ were in the rear seats and $15 \%$ were in the front seats. In the rural counties, of the children not in safety seat/booster seats, $50 \%$ were in the rear seats and $50 \%$ were in the front seats.

In total, $25 \%$ of the children not in child safety seat/booster seats were riding in the front seats of their vehicles.

## Conclusion

The rate of child safety seat/booster seat use in Nebraska has not changed significantly in the last year. The continuing challenge is to remind each new generation of those responsible for children in motor vehicles of the need to correctly and consistently use child restraints and booster seats and to not use them in the front seats of vehicles. There will always be a continuing need to encourage those using safety seat/booster seats to continue to do so.
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## Appendix A

Results of Observations of 30 Intersections

| Intersection | Total No. Vehicles | Total No. with Seats |  |  | Total No. w/o Seats |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Front Seat | Rear <br> Seat | Total | Front Seat | Rear <br> Seat | Total |
| 1* | 30 | 2 | 33 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2* | 37 | 3 | 38 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3* | 33 | 1 | 34 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4* | 47 | 2 | 49 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 5* | 29 | 2 | 32 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6* | 33 | 1 | 34 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7* | 36 | 1 | 38 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8* | 53 | 3 | 58 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 9* | 64 | 4 | 77 | 81 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 10* | 38 | 4 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 11* | 41 | 3 | 46 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12* | 32 | 3 | 33 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $13 *$ | 23 | 2 | 24 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $14 *$ | 23 | 1 | 24 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | 25 | 2 | 25 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | 21 | 2 | 20 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | 22 | 2 | 23 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 32 | 3 | 34 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 27 | 2 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 37 | 2 | 44 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals | 797 | 54 | 844 | 898 | 7 | 21 | 28 |
| Total Children Observed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 926 |

## *Urban Counties

